Mallicote

Mallicote / RANSHAW

George
John
Thomas

Eliza
Peggy

Mallicote

Ramshaw Surname

Samuel
Thomas
William

George Mallicote

G. B. U 1797-1801
278. Patty Mallicote relict of
Geo Mallicote vs.
Martha, John, Eliz., Anna, Susanna
Child of ed Geo. Josiah Gray their
guardian.

330. Geo. Mallicote = Patty — Fra. Murland of
had been Surry, her guardian 1775 —
O B 1772-80

George Mallicote

W.B 8 496 — Geo. Mallicote, Luke Taylor and Edd James ap. est of Joseph Dobbs. 1778.

W.B 9 p.54 — Geo. Mallicote, Wm Heath, Jas Deroung ap est. Benj. Edwards 1780

W.B 10 198 — Geo. Mallicote & John J. Wheadon Exs. will of Jas. Browne 1791

W.B 10 333 — Geo Mallicote. Est ap. by John J. Wheadon, Jas Atkinson, Sampson Wilson, John Shelly 1795.

John Mallicote = Mary Dawes Gray
Surry. 12-20-1787

John Mallicote

1738. Geles + Wx + Mallecote v. Mallecote
Tho. Mallecote decd. will. survived by
widow + children - among whom are John, Thomas
+ another son + dau whose names do not
appear - Suit. Va. Reports.
Lem + M. vol. XI. 2nd Series - p100

John Mallicote = Mary Gray. 12.20.1787
Consent of Jas. Gray.
N.M. Reg. p.22

S. M. Reg.
P 22. John Mallicoat = Mary
Gray - Consent of Jas. Gray
Sec. Robt McIntosh 11-20-1787

John Mallicote



John Sewellin = Sally McIntosh Mallicote
12-21-1811 Sec. John Mallicote - p. 87

Edm. Sewellin = Peggy Mallicote
B. 10-29-1795 Sec. Rich Rowell p. 123.
Father Jas. Sewellin
John Mallicote Jr
Betsy
Daniel John Marrow.

1836
1819
1835
1821
1804

John Mallicote

87. Robt Morgan = gr-daur of John Mallicote decd. 2 mes. Jane Mallicote has got as her thirds of the est – she will carry them out of this est – to age par at ind of. 1729

1 Louise Sallie Collier b in Hampton, Va wife of Francis Collier, Sam. 2

2 John Braxton Sallie (Nov. 26, 1841 – Feb 1, 1915) m 1865 Sarah Elizabeth Sewelling (May 3, 1847 – Sept 21, 1908

3 Thos Sewelling (ca 1825 – May 16, 1855 "aged 29 yrs.") m ca 1846 Frances Wood (1822 – June 10 1885)

4 Jas. Sewelling (d 1828) m Sally McIntosh Mallicote b ca 1790 & bef. 1840–50. [This was likely a second marriage for both parties, for Jas. was b. by 1765 & had a son Edmund b 1798 who m. a sister of Sally's. Sally m (1) 1811 John Sewelling probably a bro. of Jas.]

5 John Mallicote (b 1760–65 probably d ca 1825

1850 | 1860 | 1870

1750 | 1760 | 1770

1835
21
1816

John †
D 1801

John = (1)
D 1826
2. Sally M. Mallicote
M. 12-21-1811

Edmund
25
B 1795

Son
18-26
1802+

dau
16-26
1804+

James = 1
B 1765
D 1828

2 = Sarah Machenbach Mallicote
(widow of John Sewellen)

Edmund = Patty Mallicote
B. 10-29-1798.
M. 10-30-1822

sisters

John W.
B. 1814

Half Bros
+
1st Cousins.

Thomas
pd taxes first
in 1847 - 21
born 1826
D. 1855

Wm
B. 1827

1830
40
90

John Mallicote = Mary
Pat land in Warwick 1696 = Joan

(Incomplete will date 1719

Tho = Mary = Ant. Giles
D 1729

John, Mercy = Jno Mary, Tho

= Toconeend

Mary, John

Oliver = Batts

ann

Wm = Jsna
deed by 1718
D 1727

Mary B 1706, John, Wm, Jsna B. 1709

Tho = Sarah MacIntosh

John of Surry = Mary Davis Gray
B 1760
D. 1825
12-20-1787
D by 1834

Geo = Surry
D by 1814
(wes)

Tho = Mildred Fuqua
D S.P
4 9 7 10
M 1-24-1792
Jas Atkinson

Jas, Robt M, Wm, Tho, John, Betsey = Robt Powell M 11-22-1830, Peggy = Edmund Lewellen M 10-30-1822, Susan, Polly Davis, = Mitchel John P., Sarah = J Sam

John Mallicote = Mary
Pat land in Warwick 1696 = Joan
ete will date 1719

nd
les = Toroneend
Tho
Mary John

Oliver
= Batts
ann

Wm = Isa
deed by
1718 D 1727

Mary
B 1706
John
Wm
Isa
B. 1709

nah MacIntosh

Geo = Sarry
Sky 1814
(wes)

Tho = Mildred
Fuqua
DSP
40 of W.
M 1-24-1792
Jas Atkinson

0-1787
1834

Wm
Tho
John
Betsey = Pott
Powell
M 11-22-1830.
Peggy Edmund Lewellen
M 10-30-1822
Susan
Polly
Davis
Mitchel
John P.
Sarah = John
Sewellen

Geo Morey = Sarah
Walcoste | Faulkey
D 1794

Ann | Betsey | John = Elizabeth Webb. M 2-4-1801 = Lisa Holt M 1817 | Josiah = Wenn | Brown =

Thomas (M.)

Warwick Papers.

86 - Andrew Giles = Mary widow of Tho. Mallicoté, 1729

86. Mary Giles & Wm Harvey
2 notes from John Wills Jr. to Tho Mallicote died - that he received grnd + rdc of sd Mallicote for the sd Will's use - del. to sd Harvey for the Wills use. 1729.

Eliza Patsey Peggy (M.)

2 X W O B 1806-9
491 Jos. Jones = Elza Mallicote 1809

O. B 1810-13.
3. Joseph Jones = Elza = at first Patsey Mallicote 1810

Warwick 1810
Jas. Sewelling (b. before 1765)
2 males under 10
1 16-25
1 over 45
1 female 26-45

1855-5
29
6

Warwick 1840
Sarah M. Sewelling - 1
female bet. 40-50

Thos. Sewelling d May 16 1855
aged 29 (Vital Statistics)
but 1850 Census gives
Thos. Sewelling aged 26 b in Warwick
Frances " " 26 " " "
Sarah E " " 3
Thos. " " 1

Eliza Patsey Peggy (M.)

In 1850, the only other Sewell living was John aged 15 living with Thos. Curtis mer. chant. (son of Edmund?)

Surry N. B.
Oct 30, 1822 Edmund Sewelling, b Oct 29, 1798, son of James Sewelling, + Peggy Mulricote.

Frances, widow of Thos. Sewelling appears in Eliz. City Census for 1870, Archives Div.
Fanny Sewelling 48 yrs.

Surry Co. D.B. 13, p 19 May 1, 1788 Carter Crafford of Warwick & Mary Wife his mother to Robt. McIntosh of Surry 298 a etc. wit: John Mallicote, Andrew Holt, Daniel Blow.

Id p 22 Mar 12, 1788 Carter Crafford of Warwick to John Mallicote of Surry 98 a.

Surry M. B. Dec. 20, 1787 John Mallicote & Mary Gray, Robt. McIntosh, Sec.

Isle of Wight D.B. 16 p 442 Feb. 16, 1777 Henry Harrison of Newport Par. to Geo. Mallicote of same

Id p 96 April 12, 1788 Wm Heath of Newport Par & Henrietta his wife to Geo. Moory Mallicote of same Par. land now in possession of sd. Geo. Moory Mallicote, 49 a.

Ibid W.B. 11 p 455 Acct. of Geo. Mallicote's estate John Mallicote adm'r Acct. begins July 1794, recorded Dec. 6, 1801 "for a hat for Ann Mallicote for a hat for Betty Mallicote for a hat for John Mallicote" xxxx "John Mallicote my ward in full of his proportion of his father's estate". xxxx

Surry W.B. 1792-1804 p. 8. Will of Thos. Mallicote of Southwark Par. dated Dec. 1, 1790, presented Dec. 28, 1790 + finally proved Feb 25, 1792. Wife Mildred, if not pregnant she is to have back the property she had at our marriage "which took place about the first of March last" + estate to be divided between sisters & brothers. Kinsman Robt. McIntosh + Wm Boyce exors.

Robt. McIntosh was a relative, younger bro. Testators mother.

Ibid. W.B. 1822-1827 p. 315 Will of John Mallicote dated Nov. 17, 1824, proved Feb. 28, 1825. "To wife my riding carriage + the plantation where on I live for life, 1/3 of negroes etc "provided she shall not claim dower in my Warwick land"

xxxx. W. B. 6 p. 620-623 (1830-1834) Mar. 1834. Settlement of estate of John Mallicote Sr. + Mary D. Mallicote. Signatures: Robt. Rowell for his wife, Edmund Swelling for his wife, John Mallicote, Susan Mallicote, John P. Mitchell son of Mary late Mary Mallicote, Sally M. Swelling heirs of Thos. Mallicote decd. Robt M Mallicote, Jas. Mallicote, Wm Mallicote.

Warwick Co. Tax List. 1782-1814 Thos. Mallicote 314

1815 John Mallicote of Surry. 140a "From Thos. Mallicote by Will"

1815 The orphans of Geo. Mallicote 140" from Thos. Mallicote by will".

Ministers Returns.

Surry ~~M.B.~~ Dec 24, 1811 John L Willis + Sally M. Mallicote. She m (2) Jas. Sewelling (probably bro. of her first husband.)

Census Bureau, Warwick Co.

She is in 1840 but not 1850 causing us presumably d. in that decade.

1830 Sarah M. Sewelling hsh. 40-50 [Since her father John Mallicote + Mary Davis Gray were m Dec. 20, 1787, she was possibly the oldest child + b 1789 or thereabouts.]

[It seems from the above data that Robt. McIntosh + John Mallicote settled in Surry probably on adjoining lands, since both purchased from Carter Crafford. Thos + Geo. Mallicote seem to have been bros. of John, + all three sons of Thos. + Sarah Mallicote. Thos. was I believe son of Moses (or Moore) Mallicote, who was son of Thos. (Barradall's Decisions vol 2 p 371), who was son of John (Warwick Miscellany, photostat in Library) In 1933 the writer published some account of the Woods of Eliz. City Co. + Sewellings of Warwick in W&M. Thos. S. Sewelling (b 1849 + now dec.) son of Thos. Sewelling stated his grand parents were Jas. Sewelling +

W + M (2) vol 13 p 267.

Sarah Mallicote of Surry Co. It was because of this information that Sarah was from Surry that it was possible to trace this line otherwise there would have been no clue. Thos. Lewellyn father d. 1855, his death is recorded in Vital Statistics Bureau, but parents not given.]

Surry M. B. Dec 21, 1811 John Lewellen + Sally McIntosh Mallicote. John Mallicote Surety. [The ministers' returns book was available when I wrote the article in W&M. Since then the State Library borrowed the bonds + made a register. The above is copied from the Register made by the Archival Force. I can get you photostat if you wish ~~[illegible]~~. I carried the bonds back + forth + the completed register. I copied the bond in my vol. 13(2) of W&M. at the top of p 267 + have just noticed it. I apologize.]

This conflicts with data on page 5 of data Blanche sent me & I don't understand the which is authored the 20 given the the bond.

Warwick Miscellany O.B. April 1729 p 349
Suit for ejectment.

Thos Davis made his will Feb 16, 167 1/1, his dau. Frances m 1674 John Couriers & had a dau. b. 1675. They made a deed Oct 31, 1676 for this land to Peter Hoggard who d 1680 & whose son Peter possessed the land. He made his will Dec. 9, 1698 leaving the land to his bro. Samuel & d. in the pd. year. Saml. then possessed the property & d 1710 leaving issue the deft. Peter Hoggard. The land has belonged to the Hoggards from Oct 31, 1676 to this suit, Feb. 1728/9. John Couriers d 1678 & his wife Frances 1677; Saml Rausha mentioned in Thos. Davis's will d 1697 being 50 or 60 yrs. of age. He had issue 5 daus. Elizabeth, Anne, Sarah, Martha & Mary. Eliz., Martha & Anne are now dead but have issue. The wife of the deft. Hoggard is dau. & heir of pd Martha. Saml. Rausha was cousin to Thos. Davis, the giver of the land in dispute who d. 1678. Rausha's had issue Sarah is now Sarah Mackentosh & Mary now Mary Smith. Enos husband of Sarah d nine years ago in 1720, Sarah is 47 & m. about 27 yrs. ago

April 24, 1702 Ann x Rausha extrix of her husband (over) Saml Rausha gives bond. Miles Nells & Miles Cary Jr. here see.

Sept 25, 1704 Robt. Thurman gives receipt for legacy of his wife Mary, dau. & legatee of Daniel Rawsha dec'd. Enos Meack witness with.

Chas. Parish. Enos MacKintosh born. Feb 10, 168 6/7
Elig [his wife] d Sept. 6, 1695. Samuel [their
son] d. s. p. 1697. Daniel their son b 1672
Enos their son b April 26, 1682
[Will of Enos Mackintosh in York Rec. Book
1684-87, signed with a as his mark, which I be-
lieve identifies him as belonging to the clan Mackin-
tosh in which Aeneas is a recurring name.
York Book 4 p. 380, Nov. 15, 1671 Deed of gift Enis
Mackintosh to his dau's. Mary, Elig. & Jane.

Warwick Minutes Book 1748-61 [same vol. Mrs. Chap-
man calls O.B. which is my error.], 408 July 1, 1756
Sarah Mackintosh who was wife of Enos Mackin-
tosh dec'd. demandant agt. Daniel Young &
Martha his wife tenants upon a writ of dower for
a 1/3 part of one messuage, 5 tenements & 94 a
of land in co. & parish of Warwick which were
the freehold of the sd Enos. Pursuant to a
former order, the persons named in the order
laid off as follows: "June 25, 1756, We the
subscribers have laid off the land of Daniel
Mackintosh into three parts whereof Sarah
Mackintosh widow of the sd Samuel chose
one, which she claims by right of dower
in the presence of Robt. Seymour Thos. Whitty
John Bollere clarkell". The court

considers. sd Sarah recover against the sd Saml. Young & Martha his wife her seizen of the sd third part of 94 a. with appurtenances.

1729 Warwick Co. Miscellany in Archives p. 352. at the motion of John Edwards an evidence for Owen Lewis agt. Daniel MacIntosh, it is ordered Lewis pay him for 1 days' attendance

Id p. 363 Nov. 1729 County Levy.
To Samuel MacIntosh 2 days guarding a prisoner, 50 lbs tob
" Ennos " dito 50 lbs tob

Warwick Co. Minute Book 1748-1762
Mackintosh

p. 8 Mar. 2, 1748/9 Will of Saml Purryear presented by John & Saml. Purryear the exors named therein, Robt. Mackintosh & John Young. Sec. on their bonds as exors. p. 9 Saml. Dunn, Saml Young, Nixon Brown & Simon Morgan to appraise the estate.

p. 18 April 6, 1749 Robt. Mackintosh on jury.

p. 86 May 3, 1750 Ann Crandall to be exix of Margaret Noblin. Robt. Mackintosh, Saml. Dunn, Wm Perkins & Spencer Pierce to appraise the estate.

p. 118 Feb. 7, 1750/1 Robt. Mackintosh on jury.

p. 122 Mar. 7, 1750/1 Robt. Mackintosh & Martha his wife make conveyance to John Edwards Jr.

(Same court) p. 125 A marriage contract between Saml. Young & Martha Mackintosh the children of the sd Martha & Robt Mackintosh & a schedule there on endorsed was acknowledged by the sd Saml. Young & Robt. Mackintosh two of the parties thereto & was proved as to the sd Martha Mackintosh by Saml Dunn & Jno. Jones two of the witnesses.

Mar 5 1752 p. 165 On motion of Jno. Perkins admx is granted him in the estate of Benj. Mackintosh, decd., Jas. Gray

his security. Study Sewelling, Alex. Sewelling, Gerrard Ridley + Daniel Young to appraise the estate

p 170 June 4, 1752. Martha Mackintosh obtains letters of admn on the estate of her decd husband, Robt. Mackintosh, John Bollere + Wm Langston her securities. Thos. Holday, Meriritt Bland, Spencer Pierce + Robt. Seymour to appraise the estate.

p 186 July 2, 1752 appraisement of estate of Robt. Mackintosh decd. returned.

p 303 Aug. 11, 1754 Richd. Mackintosh to be surveyor of the main road from Stony Run to Clay Bottom in the room of John Bollere.

p 351 Sept 4, 1755. On motion of Samuel Purnyear, ordered that Wm Dudley, Gent., Robt. Seymour, Thos. Cary, Jr. & William Whitaker settle the admn of Robt. Mackintosh, decd.

p 355 Nov. 6, 1755 Settlement of estate of Robt. Mackintosh decd. returned + recorded.

p 408 July 1, 1756 p 408 Sarah Mackintosh who was wife of Enos Mackintosh decd demandant agt Daniel Young & Martha his wife tenants et al (you have full text)

p 422 Aug 5, 1756 a deed of partition of certain lands in this co. bet. Thurmer Hoggard of the co. of Princess Anne ship carpenter, of the one part + Saml Young + Martha his wife + Richd. Mackintosh all of Warwick Co. of the other part was acknowledged by the parties a bond from Richd. Mackintosh to Thurmer Hoggard was acknowledged.

p 488 July 7, 1757 Richd. Mackintosh to pay Thos. Wooton, Talton Almond + Saml Sandifer who had int. for him in his suit against Thos. Bryan.

p 559 Nov. 2, 1758 On motion of Sarah Mackintosh, it is ordered 3 tiltables, to wit, Robin, Liddie + Hannah, belonging to the sd Sarah be added to the list of tiltables of Francis Jones, Gent.

p 579 Feb 1, 1759 Wm Langston is appointed gdn to Robt. Mackintosh, orphan of Robt. Mackintosh dec'd, John Drewry + Saml Parsons, his sec.

p 589 Will of Eliz. Mackintosh presented by Saml Bland, the ex named therein + proved by the oaths of Mary Jones + Robt Seymour witnesses thereto. Wm Langston + Thos. Watkins sec. for the ex. Thos. Whittaker Thos. Cary, Jr., Robt. Seymour + John Boleu ~~Gent~~ Clausel to appraise the estate.

p591 June 7, 1759 Thos. Mallicote + Sarah his wife petition agt. Thos. Pugmor defdt. in chancery. This cause was this day heard, depositions, arguments etc. It is ordered pd Pugmor pay unto the sd Mallicote £13_5s_10d with interest on £14_17s part thereof from Nov. 6, 1755 + defdt. further to pay costs

p613 May 1, 1760 Crew Ridley, Gerrard Ridley, Robt. Seymour + Saml Young to examine Saml. Bland's admx of Eliz. Mackintosh's estate.

p614 (Same court) Richd Mackintosh with Saml Young + Crew Ridley his securities gets admx on the estate of Sarah Mackintosh decd. Gerrard Ridley, John Dewbre, Alex. Sewelling + Thos. Jones to appraise the estate.

p. 626 Sept 4, 1760 Estate of Eliz. Mackintosh settled.

p634 Jan 1, 1761 Appraisement + sales of the estate of Sarah Mackintosh decd. returned + ordered to be recorded.

p653 July 2, 1761 On motion of Margaret Ridley, admtrix of Crew Ridley decd. who was security for Richd. Mackintosh's admx of Sarah Mackintosh's estate for the sd Richd to give her counter security for same, the sd Richd with Wm Harwood his sec entered into bond.

p 655 Aug 6, 1761 Richd. Mackintosh produced his acct. agt Robt. orphan of Robt. Mackintosh decd.

p 656 Sept 3, 1761 Hinde Russell, Wm Hurst, Edward Hurst & Wm Marrow to examine & settle the admr of estate of Sarah Mackintosh decd.

p 664 order contd.

p 671 Mar 4, 1762 Admr of estate returned & recorded

p 674 Mar 4, 1762 Mary, orphan of Robt. Mackintosh ~~dkd~~ chose Thos Malicote her gdn, Mercy [Mary] Malicote his sec, Richd. Mackintosh appointed gdn to Margaret, orphan of Robt. Mackintosh decd. Wm Garrow & Gerrard Ridley his sec.

I think this every Mackintosh refer

Jas. Sewelling m Sarah Mallicote & d. about 1828 leaving sons John, Thos. & Wm, possibly other children (Statement of his grandson Thos. S. Sewelling (b 1849) made in 1932 or 3

NGSQ (2) vol 13 pp 266-7

Thos. Sewelling (d 1855 in the 29th yr. of his age) m Frances Wood. Hannah Wood her sister m (1) John Sewelling (2) Wm P. Sewelling, brothers of Thos. (Statement of Robt. Wood, grandnephew of Frances & Hannah Wood)

Census Bureau

Warwick 1810 James Sewelling, 2 males 10-16, 1 16-25, 1 over 45, 1 female under 45 & over 25. (Jas. was b. before 1745, 1 of the males 10-16 was Edmund b 1798, the one 16-25 must have been the John who m Sarah Mackentosh Mallicote in 1811)

" 1830 Sarah Sewelling 2 males under 10, 1 10-15, 1 female 40-50
Edmund Sewelling 1 male 30-40, 2 females under 5, 1 female 20-30

" 1840 Sarah M. Sewelling, 1 male under 5, 1 bet. 10-15, 1 bet 15-20, 1 bet. 30-40, 1 female 15-20, 1 30-40, 1 40-50

" 1850 Robt. M. Mallicote 41, in his household Wm. Sewelling 23, b in Warwick.

(over)

1850 Thos. Sewelling 26, Frances Sewelling 26
b in Eaty. City Co. Sarah E. 3 b in Warwick
Thos. L. 1 b in Warwick

Hannah Sewelling 22 b in Eaty. City Co. John
3 b in Warwick (Hannah nee Wood & wid.
ow. of John Sewelling)

The the Vital Statistics gives Thos. Sewelling's
death as May 16, 1855 in 29th yr. of his age,
if census is correct he was in 31st yr.

Hope this helps some. I think Sarah M
really m 2 bros, tho it has sounded as
if she m (2) Jas. Sr., it must have been
Jas. Jr., & he was younger than she. She
was certainly first dau, b 1789 or 1790

1850
26
1824

Warwick Papers

84. Saml Mackenlock 1729 (Malkcolk)

Warwick Papers

88. Ejectment - John Dre Plt vs Peter Hoggard & Rich Floyd land in Par of Warwick, wh Sarah Mackenlock widow + Wm Smith + Mary his wife demised to the Plt for a term - find one Thos Daves was seized of tenements etc

Made S-W - Tenants Feb 26 - 167?

had dau Tna - in year 1674 Tooth to husband John Conyers & had issue a dau 1675 - Dyed to Peter Hoggard m 1676 to Peter Hoggard son by w. 1682 - to his father Saml Hoggard - dept Peter son of Saml - John Conyers died 1678 & the sd Tna - m 1677 & that sd Tna had no issue living at the time of her death but 50 + 60 yrs - & that Saml Ranshaw in wll of Thos Daves

+ sd Saml Renshaw had issue at time
of death 5 daus. Eliza, Anne, Sarah,
Martha + Mary - Sarah + Mary are
the lessors of the Pltf. - ~~Sarah Mary~~
that Eliza + Martha are now dead but
have issue living - + that wife of the
deft Huggard now living is dau + heir of
sd Martha - sd Saml Renshaw
was Cozn to sd Tho Davis - sd Renshaw
has issue Sarah now Sarah Mackintosh
+ Mary - now Mary Smith - Enos
Mackintosh - husband ~~died in~~ has been dead
9 years - died 1720 - Sarah Mackintosh
is 47 yrs old + was = abt 27 yrs ago
1724

(Malhcite) + Mackintosh.

M. B 125 ~~Sarah~~

a mar. cont. bet Saml Young Martha Mackintosh The child of the sd Martha and Robt Mackintosh & a schedule thereon endorsed was acknowledged by the sd Saml Young & Robt Mackintosh 2 of the parties Thereto and the same was proved as to the sd Marth Mack by the oaths of Saml Dunn & John Jones 2 of the wit thereto & ord. to be recorded.

408. Sarah McKintosh who was w of Enos Mc died demandeth agt Saml. Young & W. Martha 94 a wh was the freehold of sd Enos Mc. pursuant to a former order in the Cause the persons appt to lay & assign to the demand- her reasonable dower in the land in the declar. mentioned have performed the same as follows;
6-25-1756.- We the subscribers being appt have laid out the land of Saml Mackintosh, now in the poss of Saml Young

into three parts, whereof Sarah Mc-
Mathey to sd Saml. Mc died chose
one third of wh. she claims by ~~dower~~
right of dower, etc.

It is known that Martha the
wife of Thomas Mallicote was
a Mackentosh. The family ~~[illegible]~~ alone
discussed is the only one of the
name that the extant records of
Warwick reveal. ~~Robert~~ The aforementioned
Robert is believed to have been her
father for the following reasons:
the name Robert was the one
handed down to her sons, ~~and~~ no
Samuel appearing - the association of
the same people, ~~and~~ for she and her
husband ~~bought~~ suit act the adm of
her father who was Samuel Perryear.
In Surry Will Book 1792-1804 - page
8. the will of Tho Mallicote who was
a son of Thomas Mallicote & wife
Sarah left his kinsman Robt Mackentosh
his Ex - (Son of ~~the~~ brother of alme Sarah
Mackentosh)

Jas. Sew by will from John Sew. 1801.
217 a — Texas 100. 100 + 10.
Same. 1827 —
Jas. S. 316 a
John Est. 112 1/2
1828. Edm & Sarah 316 a
John Est 36 1/3
1831 — S. Sewellens + Mallicote 316
John " Est 36 1/3
1832. S. Sew + T. Mallicote. 316
John Sew Est 36 1/3
1838. Sarah Sew etc — 316, John Est. 36 1/3
1833 = S. Sew + T. Mallicote Est 316
John Est 36 1/3
35. Sarah Sew + Mallicote Est. 316
John Sew Est.
36 Same. ~~47 = John W. Sew~~
37 Same.
39. Same.
40 Same.
41 —
42 —
43 —
44 —
45 —
46 — 308 a 36 1/3.

47. John W. Lee — 208. less by 100 a
on a act of deed from Mallicote Heirs to
John Jones + the remaining 208 a. chgd
to John W. Lee.
48. John W. 208. John Est 36 1/3.
49. John W. Est. 208 — Hitherest ff.
50 " " "
51 " "
52 " "
53 " "
54 " "
55 " "
56 " "
57 " "
58 " "
59 " "
60 " "
61 " "

Ranshaw

Samuel (R.)

Warwick
7-678 - Saml. Ranshaw - 1688-132a.
Gaben Sew - Par of Dentrigh ady.
Capt Mathew, John Sewis

Rap. 1635-6-64
100 - Kath Staynes - wid of Tho Staynes
P of atty to ren Saml. Ranshaw. 1659
(of Warwick?)

Thomas (R.)

813. Warwick

Bk. I. The Ramsha Neck of Land.

The Ramsha - Called Harwood Neck 1642

300 a

a.

Ranshaw-Ransha- Ramshee- Ramshaw-Ramshawe-Renshaw-Rainshaw etc.

1. Thomas Ramshee-Ramshaw:

1623, Summer: The Sparrow, a little 30 ton trading vessel arrived at Virginia.(2 Rec.Va. Comp. p.496)

Edward Maunders, purser, was accused of appropriating goods belonging to the owner, Thomas Weston. The case came up in Court Jan.9, 1623/4; and again February 20, 1625/6. On the latter date Thomas Ramshee testified that Mr.Westone was owner of the Sparrow "and sett her owt at his Charge from London"- that "maunder cam as purser of her, and this deponent knoweth of no goods that maunders had"of his own in the shipp , he being a very poor man and had to borrow money from Weston for the necessities of the trip over. This testimony plainly shows it is first hand information; and that Thomas Ranshaw (Ramshee being obviously a phonetic or clerical misspelling.)(Minutes of the Council and General Court,pp.10;96) was in Va. at least as early as 1623

Thomas Ramshaw is not in the Muster of 1624/5; but this testimony, given February, 1625/6 by Ramshaw, shows he was in Virginia before that Muster. This Thomas Weston was the one who engineered the so-called "flight" of the Brewster-Bradford Scrooby group to Holland 1608; and later the voyage of the Mayflower to New England- a trader and professional promoter. It is probable, juding from the little record evidence available, that Ramshaw was associated with him to some degree; and that Ramshaw was in Virginia even much earlier than 1623. This is indicated by his earliest land holdings in Virginia.

1635, July 27- Pat. issued to Thomas Ranshaw for 50 a. upon Warwick r. and Deepe Cr.; trans. 1 servant- unnamed. Asterix shows omission is in the record and not merely by compiler because of imperfect ms.
Same date, to same- pat. for 250 a. Eliz.City Co upon land of Chris.Stokes, on new Poquoson.Trans.5 persons as above.(These two tracts evidently on the divide between the York and ~~James~~ Warwick rivers.)
(1 Nugent 29)
For reasons too complex to give time to at this writing, patents of this type began to appear 1635. They are renewals, not original patents, in compliance of an order by the King in 1634. So far as I have investigated, they are the earliest patents of early arrivals- for instance, Capt. Thomas Harris, 1611, recd.pat for 700 a. Henrico, 400 a. willed him by Ann Gurganey Feb.1619/20; so we know he had that land as early as that date; and the other 300 a. for 8 persons unnamed.This in July 1637 (Ib. 60); yet on Nov, 17, 1635, he recd.pat. for 750 a. adj Edward Virgany (that is unquestionably Girgany or Gurganey- in other words, adj. the original Gurganey land willed to Thomas in 1619/20 by Gurganey's widow); This land for 13 persons named. The pat. of 1637, ~~being~~ was renewal of Harris' first pat.of which there was no extant record, ~~(1 Nugent 33)~~ (1 Nugent 33)(There is quite a story connected with this phase- too long for this analysis.)
Thomas Ransha, recd.pat. Aug.23, 1642, 300 a. also upon Deepe Cr. near to Stokes Cr. dividing this from Chris.Boyce In Warwick Co. 150 a. by purchase, 159 for 3 prsns.named.(Id. 133)

Thomas Ramshaw also had land in the Upper County of New Norfolk, according to patent to Thomas Holt, May 22, 1637- which patent is vaguely described as " N.side of E. branch of Eliz.R. upon the maine R, Sly into the woods, N. upon the head of the river, Ely & downe the river lying upon a Cr. joyning upon land of Thomas Ranshaw Wly..." (Id.57)

There is not one thing to show when or how Thomas came into possession of this land; therefore the inference is he must have acquired it and recorded it in record book then extant but not now, since apparently the survey was in his name, whether he still owned it or not. The question arises therefore, is this not the same land referred to in the patent to Thomas Meeres May 17, 1637. Meere's pat. described: "Up Co.New Norf. 3 ml. up in Eliz.R. adj. land of ~~William Rainshaw~~ the woods Nly on the maine R. & Ely up the river." (Meeres pat. for 6 persons unnamed.) (Id.55;~~57~~)

William Ramshaw, Sly into the woods

In other words- I take the Ranshaw land in Up.Norf. to have been pat. to Thomas and surveyed in his name, hence his name appearing in Holt's pat.; and Thomas having transferred it to William who was owner in 1637, hence William's name appearing in Meere's pat. The location seems to be identical in both.

The patent to William Rainshaw, Sep.14, 1636, may be the explanation of when this land was transferred to William, at which time William, having "seated" the land, took out a patent in his own name: ~~1636~~ 150 a. in Eliza.City Co. being a neck of land "some 3 ml. up Eliz. river bounding Sly into the woods, Nly upon the maine river, Ely & Wly upon a Cr." This land for trans. 3 persons all named, hence an original patent since nothing to indicate it is otherwise, although it may be for land originally patented by Thomas- yet seated by William. Also, Sep. 15, 100 a for 2 persons. (Id. 47)

2 William Ramshaw (Ramsheer-Ranshaw-Rainshaw)

1620- according to the Muster of 1624/5, William Ramshaw came to Virginia in the Bona Venture, which sailed April, 1620, arriving in August of that year. At time of Census, February, 1624/5, he was among the colonists at Hog Island. At that time, Hog Island was a bone of contention between three overlapping claims- 500 acres claimed on behalf of Mary Bailey, sole heir of John Bailey of 1618; Ralph Hamor established his colony there; and Southampton Hundred claimed part of the Island, under Captain John Utie, an officer of that Company.
Ramshaw's presence at Hog Island early in 1624/5 is interesting and not clearly understood, as in November, 1624, the Court ordered that "Willm Ramshaw shall goe down to Mathewes -Manor & worke at the trade of blacksmyth untill he have by his worke satisfyed twelve hundred pounds of Tobacco unto Mr Michaell Marshatt for which hee standeth indebted unto him, and alsoe to satisfye unto Mr.Utye 262 ℔ of Tobacco & pay his fees to the Provost Marshall". (Minutes &c,124).
There is nothing in the Muster to indicate either the age or status of Ramshaw, except we know he was neither a servant nor a tenant. In either event, he would have come at the expense of the Company for a seven year term; ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ or, if servant of an individual, would have been listed under the name of that individual.

See Hats- p.8.

3 C.

At the same time, this action was taken just before the end of his seven years in Virginia- or rather, from date of a seven-year contract in England before sailing (in April 1620)- if he was under a contract other than servant or tenant. The one ~~[illegible]~~ kind of seven year contract was that of apprentice- seven years being the usual length of such service. And in the event of apprentice,, his apprenticeship would have to be paid for, the apprentice receiving nothing for his services all during the time.
Several things indicate that Thomas came to Va. in the care of John Utie, who came on the Bona Venture; and clearly, the group in which we find William's name in the Muster, belonged to the Southampton part of Hog Island under Utie.

Thomas Ramshaw was in Va. early in 1626, but there is nothing to show that he was there latter part of the year. Was that action taken late in 1626 to insure full payment of apprentice fees, court fee and transportation? Marshatt was a sea Captain. Many youths were sent to Va. as apprentices in whatever capacity they might find an opening. That he was sent as blacksmith to Mathewes-Manor, indicates he was trained to that trade during his apprenticeship; then sent down to the neighborhood of Thomas Ramshaw, to work out those fees- unless paid in the meantime. Mathews Manor was Samuel Mathews' establishment at Denby where Thomas Remshaw's early patents lay; and where Samuel later (1688) took up land. Mathews' had the most elaborate Ramshaw establishment in Virginia.

William subsequently visited England and returned with a wife, Katherine, and ~~several~~ two servants, for which or whom he received:

1635, July 1- pat. to him for 200 a. in Eliza.City in the neighborhood where we know Thomas had land very early, This pat. issued for for his personal adv. and that of his wife Katherine. We have already seen where he pat. land that apparently he had acquired from Thomas. Also, on May 9, 1638, as William Rainshaw , he received pat.for 300 a. Lower Co.New Norf. on Eliza.R., for 6 persons (named). But before he recorded this, he appears to have turned right round and sold it, for it was patented May 24, 1638, by Henry Hawkins, as purchased from William Ramshaw. (1 Nugent, pp.24; 82; 90.)

2 servants &

William appears to have sold out all of his Eliza.City holdings and treked northward. For a pat. to one Pinner in 1662, Mar.18, in Eliza.City, which included "200 a granted Wm.Ramshaw 1 July, 1635 & after several sales, sold to Pinner". (Id. 511)

William and Katherine Ramshaw appear to have had three sons:

1. Samuel.See below. (He must have been born ca.1636, or not later than 1638, as he must have been aged 21 in 1659 when empowered by Katherine to transact realty deals &c.)
2. Argall, witness to the power of atty.
3. Richard, who as Richard Renshaw, recd. pat. 300 a. in "Gloster, Mar.18, 1664/5, for 6 persons.(Id.532) He apparently was given the 50a. pat. by Thomas in Warwick,, as a pat. to Richard Dixon 1665/6 Jan.17, included that 50a. "granted to Richard Ranshaw 27 July, 1635 & assigned to Dixon". This is unquestionably the land patented to Thomas on that date, as shown by location as well as date. The record saying it was granted to Richard is merely one of the innumerable instances of carelessness by the recorders and copyists of those early days; for this was Dixon's first patent; and evidently the land was sold to him by Richard.

Hence the careless substitution of "Richard" for Thomas. (Id. 542)

NOTE

In Hotten, the group, consisting mostly of married couples, in which we find the name of William Ramshaw, may appear to be servants of John Chew, as only a short line separatds the name of Walter Haslerwood from that of Henery Elwood, who is bracketed (in my copy) with that of Ramshaw, as coming in the Francis Bonaventure.

Few seems to have taken the troubleto read Hotten's explanation of those short, separating lines. They indicate omissions in the Hotten rendition of the Muster. (I have not a copy of Hotten at hand. I have stated the above simply from my familiarity with that work. I have before me, a transcript of the entire Muster, copied from one of the contemporary copies in Public Record Office, London.) In the original, each man's "Muster"of himself and family or household and his servants, if any, is followed by a lizt of all staple foods, live stock, arms, amunition, houses and boats- in short, everything that in any way can be considered as munitions in case of seige or attack &c. In other words, the Muster is a combined census and muster. Indeed, even the hazards properly belong in such a muster; and most certainly, children are a war hazard in times of seige or attack by an enemy.

Followinf Chews three servants, ~~is a detached list headed by Elwood and Ramshaw~~ is list of his possessions which include a boat but no house. Then follows the list headed by Elwood and Ramshaw, of five married couples and Joane Davis. The only item listed with this group is "Corne.... 41 1/2 bushells". Then follows the Muster of "Sr George Yearlley's Men", consisting of two married couples, eleven single men, and two dead. Listed as his possessions here are merely two houses. The rest of Yeardley's Muster is given at James City.
It is hard to visualize the set-up here at Hog Island. Yeardley was interested in Southampton Hundred. Certainly, all from Utie to Yeardley inclusive, belonged to the Southampton settlement. But why all these people and only three houses to the lot- one at Utie's place; and two assigned to Yeardley.

The entire Hog Island Muster includes Ralph Hamor, servants-7 in all- with good supply of provisions, arms &c, 1 boat and "houses"- number not given. This followed by Lt.Edward Barkley's family, four servants, provisions (9 barrells of corne)- arms &c, one house. Then comes John Uty, wife, son, and three personal servants (I mean evidently owned by him) five barrels of corn,"1 swine", ~~1~~ 1 house. Then, John Chew and wife, three servants, &c, as already given. It must be remembered that the Colony still had not recovered from the massacre; and we must conclude that all forces at the Island combined for mutual aid and comfort, and that Hamor shared his houses.

No mention is made in the data sent, of the Sarah Ramshaw and the Samuel Ramshaw, in the Warwick Rent Rolls of 1704. What about them? I have my theory but only theory as I have no other records bearing directly upon those names, other than have been sent to me

Minnie G.Cook

Chicago, May 21, 1940

Dear Martha and Blanche:

At first, I thought I simply could not do anything with Ramshaw at just this time- and I should not have. Then decided to get it off my mind while partly fresh in my thoughts.

And right here, Martha, let me say, for no one but you, would I have tackled this for one cent under a hundred dollars; and I would have begrudged the time at that, for they really do not add anything to general historical knowledge- not to mine, but are a drain upon my time. I mention this so you may tell Mrs. Collier what she owes to you.

claims of this kind

I am sending copies of my deductions to you two for your sharpest criticism. I am open to conviction, if this summary does not seem sound to you. As always, I carry the picture of conditions and their customs in mind, in dealing with any genealogical or historical problem- instead of merely accepting records at face value. It is this crime against historical verity- taking records at face value, that has led to so much subversion by writers. I made Tyler retract his calling Christopher Branch a servant of Osborne- just because Tyler never took the trouble to study that Muster. He made the correction in print, but did not explain why he made the original blunder.

Must get this in the mail and get to other things.

Love,

Minnie G. Cook

William (R.)

1

William Ranshaw

I.
The Muster of Lt. Barkley:
William Ranshaw in the Francis Bonaventure.
Hotten, page 237.
(In another place the date of above ship is given as 1620)

William Ranshaw, 200 acres. Elizabeth City County. July 1, 1635. North upon the mouth of Elizabeth River, south into the maine woods, bounded west by a Creek and east upon another Creek. 50 A. for his personal venture and 50 A. for personal adventure of his wife Katherine and 100 acres for trans. 2 persons, John Stephens and Thomas Pawford.
Cav. & Pio., p. 24.

Thomas Meeres patented land in New Norfolk, May 17, 1637, 3 miles up Elizabeth River, adjoining the land of William Ranshaw.
Cav. & Pio. p. 55

2. Thomas Ranshaw. (He is never named as headright and although he was rather young to be patenting if he was born after 1620, we must remember that the age of maturity came much earlier in these formative years.)

Thomas Ranshaw. 50 A. Denby Co. July 27, 1635. Upon Warwick River, north west upon the Deepe Cr. into the forest and south upon one Burrows. Trans of 1 servant.
Cav. & Pio. p. 29.

Same 250 A. Elizabeth County. July 27, 1635. East upon the land of Christopher Stoakes and S. upon the Poquoson. Trans. 5 persons
Cav. & Pio., p. 29.

Thomas Holt patented land in Upper Co. of New Norfolk, n. side of East Branch of Elizabeth River, upon the maine River, S. into the woods, N. upon the head of the River, Ely. and down the Ck. lying upon the land of Thomas Ranshaw. May 22, 1637. (This is obviously the land patented by William Ranshaw.)
Cav. & Pioneers, p. 57.

Blanche Adams Chapman

Christopher Stokes patented land in Charles River, in 1637, adjoining his own land and the land of Thomas Ranshaw.
Cav. & Pio., p. 75.

Thomas Ransha, 300 A. Warwick County. Aug. 25, 1642. Being a neck of land called Harwoods Neck, butting upon the Deepe Ck. near land of William Coxe to Stokes Ck., dividing this from the land of Christopher Boyce, 150 A. due by purchase of patent from John Garrett and the other for transportation of 3 persons, Richard Puse, George Sutton, Michaell Slowly.
Cav. & Pio., p. 133.

Samuel Chappell patented land adjoining the land of Thomas Ramshaw in Warwick County, July 4, 1664.
Cav. & Pio. p. 443.

3.
Samuel Ranshaw. Katherine Staynes, widow of Thomas Staynes, Power of Attorney to son Samuel Ranshaw. 1659.
Old Rap., Bk., 1656-64, p. 100

(Saml. Mackintosh) p. 84

Ejectment. John Doe, Plt. vs. Peter Hoggard and Richard Floyd, about land in the Parish of Warwick, which Sarah Mackintosh, widow and William Smith and Mary his wife demised to the Plt. for a term: ------ we find one Thomas Davis was seized of the said tenements etc., made last will and testament in writing, Feb. 26, 167-, who had a daughter Frances, who took to husband in year 1674 and had issue a daughter born in 1675, who deeded the land to Peter Hoggard, in 1676 to son Peter Hoggard by will 1678 to his brother Samuel Hoggard, deft. Peter Hoggard being the son of sd. Samuel. John Conyers died in 1678 and the sd. Era-- in 1677 and that the said Frances had no issue living at the time of her death, ~~between 50 and 60 years ago and that the reversion~~ went to Samuel Ranshaw in will of Thomas Davis and said Samuel Ranshaw had issue at the time of death five daughters, Elizabeth, Anne, Sarah, Martha and Mary. Sarah and Mary are the lessors of the Plt.-- that Elizabeth and Martha are now dead, but leave issue living, and that the wife of the deft Hoggard now living is daughter of the sd. Martha. The aforesaid Samuel Ranshaw was cousin to the sd. Thomas Davis, sd. Ranshaw has issue Sarah, now Sarah Mackintosh and Mary, now Mary Smith. Enos Mackintosh has been dead 9 years, died in 1720 and the said Sarah Mackintosh is 47 years old and was married about 27 years ago. 1729
Warwick Papers, p. 88. Original in William and Mary Library, photostats in Archives, Richmond, Va.

John Conyers

(Ches.)

Blanche Adams Chapman

that Saml Ranshaw in the will of ed Tho Davis mentioned died. in ye year 1698 being at the time of his death bet 50 & 60 years of age. & had issue —

Samuel Ranshaw in 1688 patented 132 acres on the Oaken Swamp Parish of Denbeigh, adjoining Capt. Mathews and John Lewis.
Land Book 7, p. 678

4. Sarah Ranshaw Born 1682, married 1702, Enos Mackintosh.

At the request of Sarh McIntosh, widow, ordered Miles Cary, Ralph Gough, John Wheeler (?) and Samuel Bland settle estate of Enos Mackentosh. 1729.
Warwick Papers, p. 91

Feb. 5, 1756, Sarah, widow of Enos Mackintosh, sues Samuel Young and Martha his wife for her thirds of the said Enos' estate. Warwick Order Book, 1749-62, p. 381.

~~(Martha was the child of Robert Mackintosh, who was from this suit the executor of his father, Enos Mackintosh's estate.)~~

Aug. 5, 1756. Ind. bet. Thurman Hoggard of Princess Anne Co. Ship carpenter and Susanna his wife deed to Richard Mackintosh being deed of partition to certain lands in this County, between Thurman Hoggard and Samuel Young and Martha his wife and Richard Mackintosh.
Warwick Order Book 1749-62, p. 422

Thus we see that Enos and Sarah Mackintosh had the following children : Samuel, Robert, Richard.

5. Robert Mackintosh, married Martha ~~Burt, dau. of~~ ?

March 7, 1750/1. A marriage contract between Samuel Young and ~~Mackintosh~~ Martha McIntosh and the children of the said Martha and Robert Mackintosh and a schedule thereon endorsed, was acknowledged by the Samuel Young and Robert Mackintosh, two of the parties thereto and proved as to Martha by the oaths of Daniel Dunn and John ----, two of the witnesses thereto.
Warwick Order Bk., 1749-62, p. 125.

William Langston is appointed guardian to Robert McIntosh, orphan of Robert McIntosh decd., His security, John Drewry and Samuel Puryear. Feb. 1, 1759, p. 579.
Ibid.

Blanche Adams Chapman

Nov. 2, 1758. Thomas Mallicote and wife Sarah vs. Samuel Puryear in chancery.
Warwick Order Bk. 1749-62, p. 562.

June 7, 1759. Samuel Puryear to pay Thomas and Sarah Mallicote, 13L-5-10 and costs in the chancery suit. p. 591

March 4, 1762, Mary McIntosh, orphan of Robert McIntosh, chose Thomas Mallicote her guardian, Moory Mallicote his security. p. 679.

Richard McIntosh was appointed guardian of Margaret, orphan of Robert McIntosh. p. 679.

~~Warwick Land Books show that Thomas Mallicote died in 181~~

6. Sarah Mackintosh. Above suits and items prove that she married Thomas Mallicote. The Warwick Tax lists prove that Thomas Mallicote died in 1814. ~~what as shown below. If Thos. 1814 then she may be "Sarah Mackintosh Mallicote who married John Lewellen in 1811~~

Warwick Tax List.
1782 - 1814. Thomas Mallicote 314 A.
1815. John Mallicote of Surry, 140 A., from Thomas Mallicote by will.
The orphans of George Mallicote, 140 A., from Thomas Mallicote by will.
Robert M. Mallicote, 60 A. from Thomas Mallicote by will.

7. John Mallicote. John Mallicote married Mary Davis Gray, the daughter of James Gray of Surry,
John Mallicote married Mary Gray. Dec. 20, 1787.
Marriage Register, p. 22 Consent of James Gray.

Will of John Mallicote: to wife personality, provided she does not claim dower in my Warwick County lands, various children named and my other married children.
Nov. 17, 1824. R. Feb. 28, 1825.
Surry Bk. 1822-27, p. 315.

Page 531, Mary D. Mallicote received her share of her husband's estate.

Surry Bk. 1832-34, p. 620-23. Settlement of estate of John Mallicote and Mary D. Mallicote. Legatees: Robert Rowell for his wife; 2. Robert M. Mallicote 3. James Mallicote 4. William Mallicote. 5. Edmund Lewelling for his wife. 6. John Mallicote. 7. Susan Mallicote. 8 John P. Mitchell son of Mary Mallicote Mitchell. 9 Sally M. Lewelling.

Blanche Adams Chapman

Sarah Mallicote wife of Thomas, I feel is a thing that adams claim of Arlen

10. Heirs of Thomas Mallicote. March 1834.
John Mallicote admtr. of Mary D. Mallicote.

Will of James Gray: to daughter Mary Davis Gray.
~~xxxxxxx~~ Feb. 9, 1738 R. June 25, 1788
Valentine Papers, p. 555

8. Sally McIntosh Mallicote. She married James Lewelling, died 1828. Sally M. Mallicote born ca. 1790, died bet. 1840-50. (This was likely a second marriage for both parties, for James was born by 1765 and had a son Edmund, b. 1798, who married a sister of Sally's. Sally m. Mallicote ~~Lewelling~~ married in 1811, John Lewelling, who ~~was~~ probably a brother of her second husband James Lewelling. Compare this last with Mrs Hedges's data just sent & which I do not understand

9. Thomas Lewelling, born ca. 1825, died May 16, 1855 aged 29 years. Married ca. 1846 Frances Wood. B. 1822, d. June 10, 1885. had:

10. Sarah Elizabeth Lewelling, born May 5, 1847, d. 9-21-1908 married 1865, John Braxton Lake, b. 11-26-141, d. 2-1-1915

11. Louise Lake, born in Hampton, married Francis Collier.

NYHS(2) vol 13 p 267

Blanche Adams Chapman.

RANSHAW

1. Thomas Ranshaw
(See attached article by Cooke.)

2. William Ranshaw = Katherine
Holten. p. 237. Came in Francis Bonaventure

3. Samuel Ranshaw born bet. 1638-48; died 1698

Katherine Staynes the relict and admtx. xxxxx husband Thomas Staynes xxxxx do by these present make, constitute and appoint my son Samuel Ranshaw xxx my true and lawfull attorney xxxxxx possession and custody all lands, goods and chattels of or belonging to my late husband Thomas Staynes afsd., in my name and for my use to pay, perform and dispose of according to the last will and testament of him my said husband, giving and by these present granting unto this my said attorney all my power and authority to act and do all things belonging to my office and place of admintx. in all suits if there be occasion and all other business whatsoever as I might should or could do as amply and effectually to all intents and purposes as if I were there personally present. Witness my hand this 6th day of January 1659.

(The mark K. S.) Katherine Staynes.

Wit. Richard Hall, Argoll Ransha, William Gerish, Joshua Naylor.

(The cross marks indicate omissions from the book due to the condition of the book.)

Rappahannock County Records, Bk. 1656-64, p. 100

Ejectment suit. John Doe (Samuel Mackintosh) vs. Peter Hoggard and Richard Floyd. In reference to land in the Parish of Warwick, which Sarah Mackintosh, widow and William Smith and Mary his wife demised to the Plt. for a term -------. We find one Thomas Davis was seized of the said tenements etc., made last will and testament in writing, Feb. 26, 167-, and that he had a daughter named Frances, who took to husband in the year 1674, John Conyers and had issue a daughter born in 1675, and he deeded the afsd. land to Peter Hoggard in 1676 and by will Peter Hoggard to brother Samuel Hoggard in 1678. The deft. Peter

Hoggard being the son of the afsd. Samuel Hoggard. John Conyers died in 1678 and the sd. Francis in 1677 and that the sd. Francis had no issue living at the time of her death. That Samuel Ranshaw mentioned in the will of Thomas Davis died in ye year 1698, being at the time of his death between 50 and 60 years of age and that he had issue at the time of his death five daughters, Elizabeth, Anne, Sarah, Martha and Mary. Sarah and Mary are the lessees of the Plt., that Elizabeth and Martha are now dead, but 1 left issue living and the wife of the deft. Hoggard now living is the daughter of the afsd. Martha. The afsd Samuel Ranshaw was cousin to the Thomas Davis and the Samuel Ranshaw has issue now living, Sarah Mackintosh and Mary, now Mary Smith. Enos Mackintosh has been dead 9 years, dying in 1720 and the said Sarah Mackintosh is 47 years old and was married about 27 years ago. Dated 1729.
Warwick Papers, page 88. Original in William and Mary Library. Photostats in State Archives, Richmond, Va.

Samuel Ranshaw in 1688 patented 132 acres on the Oaken Swamp, Parish of Denbeigh, adjoining Capt. Mathews and John Lewis. Land Book 7, p. 678.

S and. survived by widow Ann - who on April 24 - 1702 - qual as Ex/x - Securities Miles Wills & Miles Cary Jr.

4. Sarah Ranshaw born 1698, died ~~xxxxxxxx~~ 1760, married in 1702, Enos Mackintosh, who died in 1720.

B 4-26-1689

At the request of Sarah Mackintosh, widow, it is ordered that Miles Cary, Ralph Gough, John Wheeler (?) and Samuel Bland settle the estate of Enos Mackintosh. 1729.
Warwick Papers, page 91.

Sarah and Enos Mackintosh had three sons, Samuel who died before 1750, when his widow Martha entered into a marriage contract.

March 7, 1750/1. A marriage contract between Samuel Young and Martha Mackintoshthe children of the said Martha and Robert Mackintosh and a schedule thereon endorsed was acknowledged by the said Samuel Young and Robert Mackintosh, two of the parties thereto and the same was proved as to the sd. Martha made by the oaths of Samuel Dunn and John Jones, two of the witnesses thereto and ordered to be recorded.
Warwick Minute Book, p. 125.

Sarah Mackintosh, who was the widow of Enos Mackintosh decd., demandeth agt Samuel Young and wife Martha 94 acres, which were the freeholds of the sd. Enos Mackintosh. Pursuant to a former order in the cause the persons appointed to lay off and assign to the demandent, her reasonable dower in the land in the declaration mentioned, have performed the same as follows: June 25, 1756, we the subscribers being appointed have laid out the land of Samuel Mackintosh, now in the possession of Samuel Young, into three parts whereof Sarah Mackintosh, mother to the said Samuel Mackintosh decd. chose one third part, which she claims by right of dower, etc.
Warwick Minute Book, page 408.

Robert Mackintosh (See below)

Richard Mackintosh. (An exhaustive study of the family by Mrs. Hiden, has convinced her that he was perhaps the youngest child and that he began having his family too late to have been the father of Sarah Mackintosh, who married Thomas Mallicote. She expects to publish shortly an account of the family telling what she has learned of him and his descendants.)

Aug. 5, 1756. A deed of partition of certain lands between Thurmer Hoggard of the county of Princess Anne, Shipcarpenter of the one part and Samuel Young and Martha his wife and Richard Mackintosh all of Warwick County of the other part was acknowledged by the said parties. A bond from Richard Mackintosh to Thurmer Hoggard was acknowledged.
Warwick County Minute Book, page 423.

Richard Mackintosh with Samuel Young and Crew Ridley his security, granted administration on the estate of Sarah Mackintosh decd. Appraisers appointed were Gerrard Ridley, John Dewbre, Alexander Lewelling and Thomas Jones. May 1, 1760.
Warwick Minute Book, p. 614.

Appraisement and sales of the estate of Sarah Mackintosh decd. returned and ordered to be recorded. Jan. 1, 1761.
Warwick Minute Book p. 634

(There were doubtless several daughters.)

6. Robert Mackintosh. born died bef. June 4, 1752.

It is known that ~~[illegible]~~ Sarah the wife of Thomas Mallicote was a Mackintosh. The family above discussed is the only one of the name that the ex-

tant records of Warwick reveal. The aforenamed Robert is believed to have been her father for the following reasons: the name Robert was the one handed down in the line, no Samuel appearing; the association of the same people, for she and her husband brought suit against the administrator of her father's estate, who was Samuel Puryear; and in Surry Will Bk. 1792-1804, p. 8 we find the will of Thomas Mallicote, who was the son of Sarah Mackintosh, who left his Kinsman Robert Mackintosh his Executor. (This Robert was the son of Robert Mackintosh, whom the Warwick records prove to have been the son of Robert Mackintosh)

Below is given all the details which the one Minute Book left of the County records reveal in reference to him.

March 2, 1748/9. Will of Samuel Puryear presented by John and Samuel Puryear, the Exs. named therein. Robert Mackintosh and John Young security on their Bond. Apprs. Samuel Dunn, Samuel Young, Dixon Brown and Simon Morgan.
Warwick Minute Bk. p. 8.

April 6, 1749. Robert Mackintosh on the Jury
Warwick Min. Bk., p. 18.

May 3, 1750. Ann Crandoll to be Extx. of Margaret Noblen. Apprs., Robert Mackintosh, Samuel Dunn, Wm. Perkinson and Spencer Pierce.
Warwick Min. Bk. , p. 86

March 7, 1750/1. Robert Mackintosh and Martha his wife convey land to John Edwards, Jr.
Warwick Min Bk. , p. 188

June 4, 1753. Martha Mackintosh obtains letters of administration on the estate of her decd. husband, Robert Mackintosh. John Bellere and William Langston her securities. Apprs., Thomas Robday, Merritt Bland, Spencer Pierce and Robert Seymour.
Warwick Min. Bk. p. 170.

July 3, 1753. Appraisement of the estate of Robert Mackintosh was returned. Warwick Minute Bk., p. 186

Sept. 4, 1755. On motion of Samuel Puryear, ordered that William Dudley, Gent., Robert Seymour, Thomas Cary, Jr and William Whittaker settle the administration of the estate of Robert Mackintosh decd.
Warwick Min. Bk. p. 351.

Nov. 6, 1755. The settlement of the estate of Robert Mackintosh, decd., returned and recorded. Warwick Min. Bk. , p. 355.

William Langston is appointed guardian to Robert Mackintosh, orphan of Robert Mackintosh decd.. Security, John Drewry and Samuel Puryear Feb. 1, 1759. Warwick Min. Bk. p. 579.

Thomas Mallicote and wife Sarah vs. Samuel Furyear in chancery.
Nov. 8, 1758 Warwick Min. Bk. p. 662.

June 7, 1759. Thomas Mallicote and wife Sarah petition agt. Samuel Furyear deft. in chancery. This cause was this day heard, depositions, arguments etc. It is ordered said Furyear pay unto the said Mallicote £13-8-10, with interest on £16-17, part thereof from Nov. 6, 1755 (Note that this was the date of the settlement of the estate of Robert Mackintosh.) and the deft. to pay all costs.
Warwick Min Bk , p. 691.

March 4, 1763. Mary Mackintosh, orphan of Robert Mackintosh chose Thomas Mallicote her guardian, Moory Mallicote his security.
Warwick Min Bk., p. 678.

Richard Mackintosh was appointed guardian of Margaret, orphan of Robert Mackintosh. p. 674.

Aug. 6, 1761. Richard Mackintosh produced his account agt. Robert the orphan of Robert Mackintosh dcd.
Warwick Minute Bk.p., 666.

6.
Sarah Mackintosh born about died Prior to 1814
married Thomas Mallicote before Nov. 8, 1758, she died in 1814 as shown by the Warwick County Tax Lists.
B dd 1735-36

Warwick Tax Lists.
1792-1814- Thomas Mallicote charged with 314 acres.
1815. John Mallicote of Amery, 140 acres from Thomas Mallicote by will.
The orphans of George Mallicote, 140 acres from Thomas Mallicote by will.
Robert M(acintosh) Mallicote 50 acres from Thomas Mallicote by will.

7. John Mallicote born about 1760 died bef. Feb. 18, 1835, married Mary Davis Gray, the daughter of James Gray.

John Mallicote and Mary Gray. December 30, 1787.
Consent of James Gray.
Surry Marriage Register, page 22

Will of John Mallicote: to wife various bequests, provided she does not claim dower in my Warwick land, various children named and unnamed Mar. Children

Dated Nov. 17, 1824. R. Feb. 28, 1825.
Surry Bk. 1822-27, p. 315.

Mary D. Mallicote received her share of her deceased husband, John Mallicote's estate. Surry Bk. 1822-27, p. 531.

March 1834. Settlement of the estate of John Mallicote and of Mary D. Mallicote. Legatees:-Robert Rowell for his wife; Robert M. Mallicote; James Mallicote; William Mallicote; Edmund Lewelling for his wife; John Mallicote; Susan Mallicote; John P. Mitchell, son of Mary Mallicote Mitchel and Sally M. Lewelling.
Surry Bk. 1830-34, p. 620-22

8. Sarah (Sally) Mackintosh Mallicote born about ~~1790~~ 1788/89 died 1846

married two brothers:- or a son and then his father (dates seem to me to indicate the later to me. B. A. C.)
John Lewellen and Sally McIntosh Mallicote. Dec. 21, 1811
Security, John Mallicote.
Surry Marriage Register, p. 87. Second wife

The Warwick Tax List show the following:
John Lewelling paid taxes for the year 1826.
Entered as John Lewelling's estate in 1827.

It is not known how soon after his death she married his brother James Lewelling, but the tax lists show that he did not long survive his second marriage, for the 1828 lists his holdings as James Lewelling's estate. Sarah Lewelling first appears also on the list of 1828.

Edmund Lewelling and Peggy Mallicote Born Oct. 29, 1795. M 10-30-1822 Jr
James Lewelling, father. Security, Richard Rowell, John Mallicote Betsey, Daniel and John Marrow.
Surry Marriage Register page 123. Thus we see that James Lewelling married as his second wife, the sister of his sons wife to further complicate the intermarriages in the family.

Land Tax List.
James Lewelling paid 1827 on 316 acres
1828. Edmund Lewelling and Sarah Lewelling were charged with 316 A.
1831 Sarah Lewelling and Mallicote charged 316 A.
1832 " " " T. Mallicote charged with 316. A.
1833 " " " " " Est. charged with 316 A.

The following affidavit made to Mrs. P. W. Hiden, proves from which marriage the following line descends: In 1932 or 33, I went with

Mrs. Collier to see her uncle, Thomas L. Lewelling, her mother's brother. He stated and that deposition was filed with Mrs. Collier's papers for the Daughters of the American Revolution, that his parents were Thomas Lewelling and Fannie Wood. Later, I started to write an article on the Woods for the William and Mary Quarterly and wanted to include some Lewelling data. I therefore asked him the names of his fater's parents. He replied, "James Lewelling and Sally Mallicote". I asked no more at the time, but later asked if the Mallicotes were not from Warwick, he replied, "No Surry" Mr. Thomas L. Lewelling was born Jan.1, 1849. His father died 1888 and his mother about 1885. One of his ~~brothers~~ father's brother's died in 1873. They all lived within a few miles of each other and Mr. Lewelling should have known his grandfather's name.

9. Thomas Lewelling born ca 1826, died May 16, 1888, aged 29 yrs. Married ca. Frances Wood, born 1822, died June 10 1885.

10. Sarah Elizabeth Lewelling, born May 4, 1847. D. 9-21-1938. married 1866, John Braxton Lake, b. 11-26-1841; d. 2-1-1915.
9-b-

10. Louise Lake, born in Hampton, married Francis Collier.

The proof of last three generations may be found in an article written by Mrs. R. W. Hiden in William and Mary Quarterly, 2nd. Series, vol. 13, p. 267.

This used

Ejectment suit. John Doe (Samuel Mackintosh) vs. Peter Hoggard and Richard Floyd. In reference to land in the Parish of Warwick which Sarah Mackintosh, widow and William Smith and Mary his wife demised to the plt. for a term -----. We find one Thomas Davis was seized of the said tenements, etc. -- made last will and testament in writing, Feb. 26, 167-, and that he had a daughter named Frances, who took to husband in the year 1674, John Conyers and had issue a daughter born in 1675 and he deeded the afsd. land to Peter Hoggard in 1676 and by will the sd. land to his brother Samuel Hoggard in 1678. The deft. Peter Hoggard, being the son of afsd. Samuel Hoggard. John Conyers died in 1678 and the sd. Frances in 1677 and that the afsd Frances had no issue living at the time of her death. That Samuel Ranshaw mentioned in the will of of Thomas Davis died in ye year 1698, being at the time of his death, between 50 and 60 years of age and that he had issue at the time of his death , five daughters, Elizabeth, Anne, Sarah, Martha and Mary. Sarah and Mary are the lessors of the Plt., that Elizabeth and Martha are now dead, but left issue living and the wife of the deft. Hoggard now living is the daughter of the afsd. Martha. The afsd. Samuel Ranshaw was cousin to the afsd. Thomas Davis and the sd. Samuel Ranshaw has issue now living, Sarah Mackintosh and Mary, now Mary Smith. Enos Mackintosh has been dead 9 years, dying in 1720 and th sd. Sarah Mackintosh is 47 years old and was married about 27 years ago.
Dated 1729.
Warwick Papers, page 88. Original in William & Mary Library. Photostats in State Archives, Richmond, Va.

Samuel Ranshaw in 1688 patented 132 acres on the Oaken Swamp, Parish of Denbeigh, adjoining Capt. Mathews and John Lewis. Land Book 7, p. 678.

Samuel Ranshaw was survived by his widow Ann, who on April 24, 1702, qualified as his Extx., Her securities were Miles Wills and Miles Cary, Jr.
Warwick Papers, as above.

4. Sarah Ranshaw. Born in 1682, died 1760, married in 1702,

Enos Mackintosh, born 4-26 1682, died 1720
(See above suit)

At the request of Sarah Mackintosh, widow, it is ordered that Miles Cary, Ralph Gough, John Wheeler (?) and Samuel Bland settle

the estate of Enos Mackintosh. 1729
Warwick Papers, p. 91.

Sarah and Enos Mackintosh had ~~two~~ sons: Samuel who died before 1750, when his wife Martha entered into a marriage contract.

March 7, 1750/51. A marriage contract between Samuel Young and Martha Mackintosh the children of the said Martha and Robert Mackintosh and a schedule thereon endorsed was acknowledged by the said Samuel Young and Robert Mackintosh, two of the parties thereto and the same was proved as to the sd. Martha made by the oaths of Samuel Dunn and John Jones, two of the witnesses thereto and ordered to be recorded.
Warwick Minute Book, p. 125.

Sarah Mackintosh, who was the widow of Enos Mackintosh, decd., demandeth agt. Samuel Young and wife Martha 94 acres, which were the freeholds of the sd. Enos Mackintosh. Persuant to a former order in the cause of the persons appointed to lay off and assign to the demandent, her reasonable dower in the land in the declaration mentioned, have performed the same as follows: June 25, 1756, we the subscribers being appointed have laid out the land of Samuel Mackintosh now in the possession of Samuel Young into three parts, whereof Sarah Mackintosh, mother to the sd. Samuel Mackintosh decd., chose one third part, which she claims by right of dower, etc.
Warwick Minute Book, p. 408.

Enos Mackintosh who is mentioned in the Warwick records, who was dead before the above suit without heirs, since Enos Mackintosh's holdings were only divided into three parts.

<u>Robert Mackintosh</u> (See below)

Richard Mackintosh with Samuel Young and Crew Ridley his security was granted administration on the estate of Sarah Mackintosh, decd. Appraisers appointed, Gerrard Ridley, John Dewbre, Alexander Lewelling and Thomas Jones. May 1, 1760.
Warwick Minute Book, p. 614.
(This Richard Mackintosh was placed by Mrs. P. W. Hiden as the grandson of the sd. Sarah and the son of Samuel Mackintosh in an article shortly to be published in one of the State Genealogical Magazines.)

Appraisement and sales of the estate of Sarah Mackintosh decd. returned and ordered to be recorded. Jan. 1, 1761.
Warwick Minute Book, p. 634.

(There were doubtless several daughters.)

5. Robert Mackintosh. Robert is considered as a son rather than a grandson because of the time element. He died intestate in 1752 and Martha his widow obtained letters of administration on his estate, John Bollere and William Langston being her securities (Warwick Minute Bk. 1748-61, p. 170.) He left a son Robert, daughter Mary (over 14 in1762) and daughter Margaret (Warwick County Minute Bk. pp. 579 and 674) we consider also that he had a daughter Sarah, who married Thomas Mallicote from the following entries: June 7, 1759, Thomas Mallicote and Sarah his wife petition against Samuel Puryear deft. in chancery. This cause was this day heard, deposition, arguments etc. It is ordered sd. Puryear pay to the sd. Mallicote £13-5-10 with interest on £4-17, part thereof from Nov. 6, 1755 and deft further to pay costs. (W. Minute Bk. , p. 591) This case had begun in 1758 and Sarah must have been at least 18, hence born about 1740. She could be granddaughter of a marriage occurring in 1702, but not a great granddaughter.

On motion of Samuel Puryear, ordered that William Dudley, Gent., Robert Seymour, Thomas Cary, Jr., and William Whitaker settle the estate of Robert Mackintosh decd., It would seem most probable that Samuel Puryear had married the widow of Robert Mackintosh and acquired her duties of administrating Robert's estate, and the only way Thomas Mallicote could have been interested would have been by marrying a daughter of the sd. Robert Mackintosh. This reasoning is confirmed by the fact that Thomas and Sarah Mallicote's son John named his oldest daughter Sarah Mackintosh Mallicote and a son Robert M. Mallicote.

Further proof is found in Surry County, Bk. 1792-1804, p. 8.-in the will of Thomas Mallicote, the son of the above Thomas and Sarah Mallicote, when he names as his executor, "his kinsman, Robert Mackintosh."

6. Sarah Mackintosh. She was born about 1740 and died prior to 1814. She married Thomas Mallicote before Nov. 2, 1758. He was born about 1735/36 and who died in 1814 as shown by the following entries in the Warwick Tax Lists:

1792-1814, Thomas Mallicote was charged with 314 acres
1815, John Mallicote of Surry, 140 acres from Thomas Mallicote by will.
The orphans of George Mallicote, 140 acres from Thomas Mallicote by will
Robert M. Mallicote 60 acres from Thomas Mallicote by will.

7. John Mallicote He was born about 1760 and died before Feb. 28, 1825. He married Mary Davis Gray the daughter of James Gray of Surry.

John Mallicote and Mary Gray. December 20, 1787.
Consent of James Gray. Robert Mackintosh was his Surety.
Surry Marriage Register, p. 22.

Will of John Mallicote: -----to wife various bequests, provided she does not claim her dower rights in my Warwick land; various children named and unnamed married children.
D. Nov. 17, 1824. R. Feb. 28, 1825.
Surry Bk. 1822-27, p. 315.

Mary D. Mallicote received her share of her deceased husband, John Mallicotes estate. Surry Bk. 1822-27, p. 531.

March 1834. Settlement of the estate of John Mallicote and of Mary D. Mallicote. Legatees: Robert Rowell for his wife; Robert M. Mallicote; James Mallicote; William Mallicote; Edmund Lewelling for his wife; John Mallicote; Susan Mallicote; John P. Mitchell, son of Mary Mallicote Mitchell and Sally M. Lewelling, Surry Bk. 1830-34, p. 620-23. and heirs of Tho. Mallicote, decd.

8. Sarah (Sally) Mackintosh Mallicote. She was born about 1788/89 and died in 1846. and married two Lewellings.

She married first, John Lewellen, of Warwick

John Lewelling and Sally McIntosh Mallicote. Dec. 21, 1811.
Security, John Mallicote.
Surry Marriage Register, p. 87.

She is described in the 1830 Census as being between 40 and 50 years of age and the Tax records prove that she died in 1746. These Tax Lists also prove that when James Lewelling died that she was his widow. He died in 1828.

The following affidavit made to Mrs. P. W. Hiden, proves from which marriage the following line descends. In 1932 or 33, I

went with Mrs. Collier to see her uncle, Thomas L. Lewelling, her mother's brother. He stated, and the deposition was filed with Mrs. Collier's papers for the Daughters of the American Revolution, that his parents were Thomas Lewelling and Fannie Wood. Later, I statrted to write an article on the Woods for the William and Mary Quarterly and wanted to include some Lewelling data. I therefore asked him the names of his father's parents. He replied, "James Lewelling and Sally Mallicote". I asked no more at the time but later asked if the Mallicotes were not from Warwick, he replied, "no, Surry". Mr. Thomas L. Lewellening was born Jan. 1, 1849. His father died in 1855 and his mother about 1885. One of his father's brothers died in 1872. They all lived within a few miles of each other and Mr. Lewelling should have known his grandfather's name.

9. Thomas Lewelling born ca 1826, died May 16, 1855 aged 29 years. Married ca. Frances Wood, born 1822, died June 10, 1885.

10. Sarah Elizabeth Lewelling, born May 4, 1847, died Sept. 21, 1908, married Sept. 5, 1865, John Braxton Lake, born Nov. 26, 1841, died Feb. 1, 1915.

11. Louise Lake, born in Hampton, married Francis Collier.

The proof of the last three generations may be found in an article written by Mrs. P. W. Hiden in the William & Mary Quarterly, Second Series, vol. 13, p. 267.

Rappahannock Co. Records
1656-1664, page 100.

Katherine Staynes the relict & adm^x^ XXX husband Thomas Staynes XXX do by these presents make constitute & appoint my son Samuel Ranshaw XX my true & Lawfull Attorny XX possession and custody all Lands Goods & chattels of or belonging to my late husband Thomas Staynes aforesaid in my name & for my use to pay perform & dispose of according to the last will & Testament of him my said husband giving & by these presents granting unto this my said attorny all my power & authority to act & do all things belonging to my office & place of adm^x^ in all Suits if there be occasion & all other business whatsoever as I myself might should or could do as amply & Effectually to all intents & purposes as if I were there

personally present Witness my
hand this 6th day of January 1859
the marke K S Katherine Staynes

Witness
Richard Hall
argoll Ramsha
William Gerish
Joshua Nayler

Note - The cross marks indicate omissions from the book, due to the condition of the book.

Correspondence

MRS. LEWIS L. CHAPMAN
CARCASSONNE
SMITHFIELD, VIRGINIA

Tuesday

Dearest Mrs. Hider:

I am delighted that your letter to Mrs Cook reached her when she was in one of her reasonable moods. I am just so happy about Mrs. Woods acceptance – if William Dawson came in too late to be an eligibility for "Dames", I feel like we ought to be able to find out who Henry's wife was, since we know "Aunt Margery" was a daughter of Francis Barnett. There is no way that Mrs. Cook could turn down Mrs. Collier's claim on lack of proof. I am glad about this too! While I think of it, I believe I can make a paper for Mrs. Spangler too — I think she told

Correspondence

me once that she was a descendant of the Thelatalls of Norfolk Co.- if so I feel I could make an acceptable paper for her if you wish her to belong. I wish I could find an eligible Tor for Mrs. Keesee and I believe I could ~~be~~. if it could be proven, who was the father of her Charles. The early Simmons can be proven I feel quite certain. I am sorry that her research is just so far away from here.

I see nothing for you to do. Let return your office as head of 17th Century. I have no idea, that Miss Cole will accept it. Now that Mrs. Keesee is eliminated for awhile, the only person available, who might accept is Mrs. Catell — and I for one do not care for This solution. I am delighted That Mrs

Correspondence

MRS. LEWIS L. CHAPMAN
CARCASSONNE
SMITHFIELD, VIRGINIA

Fitzhugh is to become a member. I like her very much. After your reply to me in Miller & Rhoads about Mrs. S. it never once occurred to me again to take the idea any further. I don't if she would consider it right now anyway. Finances are troubling them too and with their daughter at school I imagine anything extra she has she would rather use for her.

I hope to see you in Suffolk, Friday.

My love
I would love so
to hear from Mary - she
seemed so worried about
Sosie when I was up Friday

Fondly
B.

5600 HUNTINGTON AVE.
NEWPORT NEWS,
VIRGINIA

Jan 27, 1939

Dearest Blanche, It was a great pleasure to see you yesterday. I enclose a lot of the Washington & Mallicote stuff. I hope some time to write a short article on it if I can get a bit more. It seems to me an achievement when you can get 3 generations of Warwick people. I wrote a little about the Woods + brought in the Sewellings in WMQ. 1st series vol 13. pp 266-7 are the references to connect with Sarah Mallicote.

Winthrop's plantation of Colonial Va. shows Mr. Jno. Mallnote in Warwick with 61 – a very low acreage for the owner to be called Mr. no McIntosh but Saml Hanshaw has 238 a + Evans may have been living there. No McIntosh in York either. Thos Mory has 363 a.

It seems likely John Sewelling was Kin to Humphrey Harwood, but I don't know how.

This is poorly put together – all the data I enclose, so I shall not feel surprised if you ask me to interpret. I think Mallicote a beautiful name + always wanted to do something with it. The McIntosh interested me too. Evans the immigrant must have been born about 1638 or 40.

With lots of love

Devotedly

M

Oh, what would you think of Mar. 21 for Col. Davis meeting in Richd. with Audrey Wood? I thought maybe we could meet at 11 + have about 1.30, so as to get to Chville in time for Board meeting if there is one. Meanwhile I had best write Mrs. Sinclair to find out if there is a meeting.

You probably have wondered and wondered why nothing has been heard of Mrs Bushnell & her Justice son.
I have been intending to ask you — what did you decide to do — about Mrs Clay — anything?. I mean, is there any prospect — is she going to have further search made or what I left this for you to take up with her. If hopeless then we must return her fee. M. G. C.

February 29, 1940

My dear Mrs.Joy:

First, for fear I may forget to mention it- I do not expect to go to Washington in April after all. If examination shows that conditions warrant,, I shall have an eye operation in the late spring. This will mean that my eyes will be out of commission for some time and I have moeld of work to get out of the way before then; and besides, I dare not undergo the strain of a Washington Council meeting before that time. So shall have to do what I can by correspondence what cannotwait until later.

The inclosed notes on the Membership List speaks for itself. Thank you for the list.

What I am really writing about, is the letter I received last August from Mrs.Probert, regarding certain prospects for membership in this Order. I felt sure you were at your summer home at the time and so could do nothing about it; consequently I laid it aside. There has been such a constant press of matters demanding immediate attention that I am just getting around to deferred mail. Mrs.Probert wrote:

"I have afriend here, Mrs.John E.Bushnell, 219 Eason Avenue, Highland Park, Michigan who should be a member of our FFV. Her name was Annie Terrell & she is from Va. Her son is a Justice of the Supreme Court, she has a daughter and grand daughter. Her son George, the Judge is Past Pres. Detroit Chapter S.A.R. & is much interested in Southern History. I think he & his mother should both receive invitations to become members. The son has a family too. I know they are eligible, tell them about the membership being inherited and perhaps they will both join. Mrs.Bushnell Sr. is Hon. Pres. of my Robert E.Lee Chapter U.D.C. I think she would appreciate an invitation. She is a very charming person, dignified and has beautiful white hair".

I am submitting this to you as Burgess for Michigan. It would seem that the three, mother, daughter and son, would make very acceptable members. If they meet with your endorsement also, will you kindly write me a letter to that effect. We have not been keeping a file of letters concerning candidates, but shall do so, and I shall appreciate one from you as Burgess about these names. Will you extend a personal invitation, or do you prefer that I do as representing our Executive Committee? Sincerely yours,

"Robinwood", Lake Forest Illinois

Hotel Maryland

Near LINCOLN PARK AND LAKE
LOOP, SHOPS AND SHOWS

900 RUSH STREET Chicago

AIR CONDITIONED
DINING ROOM
CLOISTER INN TAVERN
AND COCKTAIL LOUNGE

March 4/40

Dear Martha—

As above scribbled address shows, am at Mrs O'Leary's charming home - am writing on a book in front of a big log fire. I don't know whether I like this place better in summer with its lovely wooded lawn and gardens — or painted in white and its big open fireplaces, as now. Both are so restful and I have been on such a strain that a few days at a time here beat all the "rest cures" in the world. Can you imagine resting among a lot of people all of whom need rest but spend all their time exchanging tales of their ailments.

Estelle has gone off to a luncheon which I declined, and then Voters' League, this afternoon. I brought your letter with me and stuck a bunch of this paper in my bag. Yes, I am most anxious

CLEVELAND - HOTEL WESTLAKE · ST. LOUIS - HOTEL FAIRGROUNDS · KANSAS CITY - HOTEL MONTROSE · DES MOINES - HOTEL COMMODORE
INTERSTATE MANAGEMENT CORPORATION - EXECUTIVE OFFICES - CHICAGO - R. HEISER, PRESIDENT

to build up the right kind of a group in Richmond and the state; and for that reason, we cannot be too careful about whom we admit until such a group large enough to take care of itself, has been firmly established. I have been very alert because of Dr Tyler's provincial stand that "F.F.V." had been "preempted" by Virginia's "prominent" families. I have had that to contend with both in the Club & in Va. Dames. They have all sworn by him.

I know how you feel about your friend. Mrs O'Hara's mother went like that. So did Myra Bazard whom I loved very much. I have never gotten over the ache I had at their awful suffering - such lovely characters and meant so much to so many people.

I have Chamberlayne's Bristol Parish. Wish I had his St Peter's. Shall find out its cost & try to get a copy.

I am so glad to know the fact about Cap. John Stone. I accepted Wise as it seemed to me dates favored the father-son relationship - and I have recognized him as F.F.V. for Wm Stone descendants - or a descendant, Kitty Knorr. However, it was for supple-

Hotel Maryland

Near LINCOLN PARK AND LAKE
LOOP, SHOPS AND SHOWS

900 RUSH STREET Chicago

AIR CONDITIONED
DINING ROOM
CLOISTER INN TAVERN
AND COCKTAIL LOUNGE

mentary. When you can find the time, will you write her the correction? Yes, it is true — at least, supposedly the Winthrop account is correct. But I understood your other letter — or that annotation to mean you thought Stone was killed in the socalled war. It was Stone's death that was made the excuse for the attack on the Pequot Indians by the Mass. colonists. Who, according to Bradford, "fryed" the ~~Indians alive~~, live Indians.

I shall be very glad to receive the Ramshaw claim and hope it works out. I don't recall that name at all but that does not mean anything. Circumstances always alter cases and I consider Mrs Collier such a case.

Of course, that will determine about the operation on my eye. I know it is not considered serious — is successful as a rule and with

CLEVELAND · HOTEL WESTLAKE · ST. LOUIS · HOTEL FAIRGROUNDS · KANSAS CITY · HOTEL MONTROSE · DES MOINES · HOTEL COMMODORE
INTERSTATE MANAGEMENT CORPORATION · EXECUTIVE OFFICES · CHICAGO · R. HEISER, PRESIDENT

much older people than I; but when I think of consequences to me all all I have to do – all I wish to accomplish – should anything go wrong and perhaps sight of both eyes suffer – I feel tempted to hold on to what sight I have, despite the inconvenience. I am not letting myself dwell upon the possibilities however.

I expect to go back to Chicago in a day or two. How I wish I might have been in Lonaville when you and your dear mother went there. There is where my husband is laid away, in the family lot out in lonely Cane Hill; and when I shall be carried in the not very distant future.

Love
Egenia

MRS. LEWIS L. CHAPMAN
CARCASSONNE
SMITHFIELD, VIRGINIA

March 14, 1940

Dearest Mrs. Coole:

I talked to Mrs. Heden over the phone last night and she told me that she had sent you other items she had which would strengthen the proof that Sarah the wife of John Mallicote had been a McIntosh. The reason that I thought Samuel was a gr-son rather than a son, was the fact that Sarah was born in 1682 (proof) and that seemed a rather long time for him to be just having grandchildren. If Thomas was the son of William he was obviously born in England, for I found this morning that he was listed as a

Burgess for Warwick in 1631/2. So he could not have been born after 1620, as I had at first assumed because of the fact that he was never listed as a headright by Wm Ramshaw – although he listed his wife Katherine – or by anyone else. I knew that land was referred to as adjoining Thomas' in 1664, which did not necessarily mean he was still living I thought, and Katherine was his wife and remarried by 1659. You are right again and I am wrong! I felt that Samuel Ramshaw was not of Thomas' generation for his name does not once appear in the land records until 1688, that I have been able to find, and Thomas is there quite often. He appears as Power of atty, 1659

ORGANIZING VICE-PRESIDENT
MRS. HOWARD LINCOLN HODGKINS
1821 KALORAMA ROAD, WASHINGTON, D.C.

VICE-PRESIDENT
MRS. JOHN WILLIAM O'LEARY
LAKE FOREST, ILLINOIS

VICE-PRESIDENT
DR. ADDAMS STRATTON MCALLISTER
206 RAYMOND STREET, CHEVY CHASE, MD.

RECORDING SECRETARY
MRS. JAMES SUTTON BLAIR
115 SOUTH SIXTH STREET, INDIANA, PA.

CORRESPONDING SECRETARY
MRS. CHARLES M. LEA
1735 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, WASHINGTON, D.C

TREASURER
MRS. EVERARD ROBINSON TODD
1779 CHURCH STREET, WASHINGTON, D.C.

REGISTRAR
MRS. PHILIP WALLACE HIDEN
5600 HUNTINGTON AVENUE, NEWPORT NEWS, VA.

HONORARY CHAPLAIN
THE MOST REVEREND HENRY ST. TUCKER, D. D.
281 FOURTH AVENUE, NEW YORK CITY

ORDER OF
FIRST FAMILIES OF VIRGINIA
1607-1620

FOUNDER AND PRESIDENT
MRS. HENRY LOWELL COOK
CLUB OF COLONIAL DAMES
1300 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

COUNCILLORS
DR. W. A. R. GOODWIN
WILLIAMSBURG, VA.

MRS. HENRY BOURNE JOY
301 GROSSE POINTE FARMS, MICH.

COL. C. WICKLIFFE THROCKMORTON
156 EAST 79TH STREET, NEW YORK CITY

MRS. FRANK ROYER KEEFER
3607 PORTER STREET, WASHINGTON, D.C.

MRS. ROBERT MORROW KENNEDY
2400 SIXTEENTH STREET, WASHINGTON, D.C.

MRS. STEPHEN FAHS SMITH
"ELTHAM," YORK, PA.

MRS. ARTHUR KELLY EVANS
"MALVERN HALL," HOT SPRINGS, VA.

DR. EARL GREGG SWEM
WILLIAMSBURG, VA.

MRS. SAMUEL GREEN BIGGS
2501 WASHINGTON BLVD., HUNTINGTON, W. VA.

MRS. PETER ARRINGTON
WARRENTON, N.C.

March 17, 1940

Dear Blanche:

Just a line about Ranshaw-Ramsha &c.

I was on the point of returning the whole of the data sent me, to Mrs.Hiden, yesterday, with request for a recasting of the continuity, when your letter came. I did not look into the records any, when this line was first submitted, for I assumed that you and Mrs.Hiden, between you, had covered the field. After getting this letter of yours saying you had just discovered that Thos. was Burgess 1631/2, I spent last evening, until midnight going thru all I have at hand, with the result that the wholepicture has undergone a kaleidoscopic shift. For one thing, as Thomas took up more land in July 1635, than William did, same month, it shows them to have at least, been of the same generation.

However, before considering that end, there are two points to be cleared up in my mind, before I can decide whether the question of immigrant ancestor is worth the work:

In your letter, you say Mrs.Hiden told you over phone, that she had sent me "items...which would strengthen the proof that Sarah the wife of John Mallicote had been a McIntosh".

The data sent gives said Sarah as wife of Thomas Mallicote.

"strengthen the proof": as I see it, there is not one word of "proof" thatsaid Sarah was a McIntosh; or that Robert McIntosh had a daughter,Sarah". I can see where perhaps this may have taken form in your mind or Mrs.Hiden's, through argument. If so, I want that argument in full. So far as those brief abstracts you sent go, in just what respect do you regard them as "proof".

I must ask for all the help I can get on this puzzling claim.which I am most anxious to accept if I feel I possibly can, conscientiously.But I am too swamped right now, to give the time to trying to straighten out these groups of data, some of which seem to be conflicting. I am returning the page from your letter pertaining to the claim. Points that must be made clear: 1. The identity of No.6- Upon just what is she claimed as daughter of Robert McIntosh. Also, 2- No.8.Just how is her name given in that marriage bond- and just who is supposed to have been the father of No.9.-Thomas Lewelling ?

I have had a deluge all this past year, of this kind of claims-have two others pending right now. One I shall reject as soon as I finish this letter: the other. I am shelving for the present, for lack of time.

Will write you further if and when I can find the time. I have not had time to get material together for 1937-39 "Documents" altho libraries are calling for 2 copies -

ORGANIZING VICE-PRESIDENT
MRS. HOWARD LINCOLN HODGKINS
1621 KALORAMA ROAD, WASHINGTON, D.C.

VICE-PRESIDENT
MRS. JOHN WILLIAM O'LEARY
LAKE FOREST, ILLINOIS

VICE-PRESIDENT
DR. ADDAMS STRATTON MCALLISTER
206 RAYMOND STREET, CHEVY CHASE, MD.

RECORDING SECRETARY
MRS. JAMES SUTTON BLAIR
115 SOUTH SIXTH STREET, INDIANA, PA.

CORRESPONDING SECRETARY
MRS. CHARLES M. LEA
1735 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, WASHINGTON, D.C

TREASURER
MRS. EVERARD ROBINSON TODD
1779 CHURCH STREET, WASHINGTON, D.C.

REGISTRAR
MRS. PHILIP WALLACE HIDEN
5600 HUNTINGTON AVENUE, NEWPORT NEWS, VA.

HONORARY CHAPLAIN
THE MOST REVEREND HENRY ST. TUCKER, D. D.
281 FOURTH AVENUE, NEW YORK CITY

ORDER OF
FIRST FAMILIES OF VIRGINIA
1607-1620

FOUNDER AND PRESIDENT
MRS. HENRY LOWELL COOK
CLUB OF COLONIAL DAMES
1300 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

COUNCILLORS
~~DR. W. A. R. GOODWIN~~
~~WILLIAMSBURG~~, VA.

MRS. HENRY BOURNE JOY
301 GROSSE POINTE FARMS, MICH.

COL. C. WICKLIFFE THROCKMORTON
156 EAST 79TH STREET, NEW YORK CITY

~~MRS. FRANK ROYER KEEFER~~
~~3607 PORTER STREET, WASHINGTON, D.C.~~

MRS. ROBERT MORROW KENNEDY
2400 SIXTEENTH STREET, WASHINGTON, D.C.

MRS. STEPHEN FAHS SMITH
"ELTHAM," YORK, PA.

MRS. ARTHUR KELLY EVANS
"MALVERN HALL," HOT SPRINGS, VA.

DR. EARL GREGG SWEM
WILLIAMSBURG, VA.

MRS. SAMUEL GREEN BIGGS
2501 WASHINGTON BLVD., HUNTINGTON, W. VA.

MRS. PETER ARRINGTON
WARRENTON, N.C.

April 8, 1940

Dear Blanche:

This is my first opportunity to answer your letter dated "Wednesday", in re MacIntosh &c; and I cannot say I like the tone of that letter. If you feel I am not fairminded enough to weigh each claim strictly upon its own merits, I prefer that you should notsend me anything to pass upon. I flatter myself that I am always open to conviction- but I must be convinced by the evidence in the case and not merely because some one else thinks thus and so, although their reasons for thinking as they do may influence me. This is the second time of late you have practically accused me of unfairness. Up to the present I have let the other instance pass, but now I am going to write you about it; for I think I would almost rather be called a thief than small enough to be unfair. However, I shall confine this letter to the Ranshaw case.

I rather thought you wrote "John" inadvertantly but of course I could not be sure. And as for my query about Sarah's birth- like you I did not turn back to the notes- just saw the date in your letter and I think at the time I confused her with one of the other Sarahs.

You say there is nothing confusing about No.8 except that Sally married two brothers. Exactly! But were both of them the father of No.9? If you gave anything showing- proving which was father of 9, it failed to register with me. I asked Mrs.Hiden which one was the father and she wrote it was James, but without saying how she knew this- at least, not so far as I can now recall. Furthermore, in that connection: In your parenthetical note for No.8, (I am giving this from memory, of course but I feel sure I am right for I recall underscoring it) you wrote that a bond in 1811 showed that "Sally Macintosh Mallicote Lewelling" married &c. ~~The~~ Mrs Hiden's letter I had just received said the name was "Sally Macintosh Mallicote" in the bond.(I am not attempting to give the exact spelling of the names here- I mean as to which spelling of the name appears in the bond).

It was her last communication that told of the bond. I did not know which of you was correct; and I simply have not the time or the eyes to be hunting through several kinds of communications to try to piece things together. And the reason I sent all that data back to you was, I want everything- EVERYTHING, that pertains to any given generation, written in full where it belongs- in the line.. For instance- on the reverse of the sheet bearing Nos.8 & 9, please write out, date and all, that marriage bond; also, ~~state~~ give in full the proof upon which ~~clearly, upon just what~~ is based the claim that it was James who

who was father to No.9.

Now, about No.6 "Sarah McIntosh". In the line as you sent it to me there is merely the assertion that she was Sarah McIntosh. In this last letter you write, "The name is perpetuated as a middle name and Robt.McIntosk is called "kinsman" by Thos.Mallicote in 1790".

Well, this information should have been given in the data for No.6 when you sent it to me- not merely the assertion that Mallicote called Robt "kinsman", but the record with reference; with mention of the marriage bond combining the names, for No.8.

What I am after is this- it is not merely a matter of satisfying me. I am after material and proofs that will satisfy whoever may have charge of our blanks and data in the future. Mrs.Hiden has already found lines that should not have been admitted, in addition to some I had discovered. In the main, these have been due to my depending upon genealogists who were supposed to be reliable- way back when I lived in Milwaukee and did not have access to a lot of books supposed to be reliable. This happens in all organizations. I have thrown out a number of lines accepted by Dames- even Miss Williams who had the reputation of being extremely rigid. Edloe was one. She was inclined to be skeptical when I told her I had found that Ann Bowler was not an Edloe- was a bit snippy about it. So then I published tha facts.

Please add the 1790 record to the back of the page on which No.6 Sarah appears. That is what I mant when I said I must have help- I must have that material synchronized. I simply cannot spend the time poring back and forth over letters to dig out the line. Mrs.Hiden may have given that 1790 item in her letter; but when I saw you and she contradicted each other as to name in that bond- I just gave up.

When you add the information to where I have asked for it, then please return the whole to me and I shall go over it again and get that first part straightened out.

Here is why I insist on having records in full that have any bearing upon a claim (and I wish the record in full about Tobert and Thomas Mallicote): You sent me all you thought Nugent gives that bear upon the establishment of the origin of the line. Well, I later looked up Nugent- after I had returned the material; and what you did not send changes the whole outlook- to my mind, at least; and now, I think it is Thomas Ranshaw I shall accept as founder- and FFV. I know this does not make sense to you. But that is just the point. I have found out things about those early conditions no one else has ever recognized and that I hope to find time, some day, to write up for publication.
I have in my room right now, historical material- transcripts, photostats of unpublished records besides my own notes, that have cost me thousands upon thousands of dollars in traveling expenses, hotel bills, clerical help and for research directed by me, to say nothing of the years of work, thousands of miles of travel, including Bancroft MSS at Berkeley Cal.; Brisith Museum and PRO &c in London to say nothing of the many times I have been to Richmond, Washington, N.Y., Boston, Madison, &c &c. And because I was wild enough to form this Order to bring to light data about our early families, I have never been able to get in proper shape, all this material. Aff.

[OVER]

Mrs. G Cook

Paid storage on this for years, not ordinary storage but in silver vault of safe deposit vaults in South. As this letter is being typed it has recently

Thanks ever so much for wishing to have me for a close neighbor in Richmond. I wish circumstances were favorable for my residing there. I have spent much time at dear old Jefferson Hotel. My first "residence" at Richmond was way back in 1901 or 1902 when the first Jefferson lay in ruins from the fire that completely destroyed the place. Then, the old Murphy was the only thing that could be called a hotel. It was a small-town old contraption but I never could get as fine food at the new (the present) Murphy as the steamed oysters that the old place served. I have spent much time at the new-present-Jefferson, the present Murphy and the Richmond. I spent many weeks one spring and early summer with Mrs Jones - sister of Lally Nelson Robins - I think it was where Mrs Lund's place is now. It was then the place to stop at the time. I left the Jefferson because it was so hot; and had nice room with Mrs Jones - meals were marvelous; but I could not stand the heat. And temperature is a determining factor now. As long as I was in my own home I could pick up & go with no real problems. It is different now. I have quite a library that I simply must have with me. I could not stand July and Aug. in Richmond. I cannot afford to pay for hotel rooms while vacationing two months; and I cannot be carting a library around. I can stay the year-round in Chicago. Another reason I stay here is to avoid social distractions. I have purposely avoided making social contacts here.

April 8.

Dear Blanche,

I enclose Mackintosh from Norwich O.B.

The John Mallicoat's appraisal in D. of W. is I believe the John Jr. who m. in Surry Feb. 4, 1801 Eliz. Webb. On Nov. 18, 1802 Francis Holt & Eliz. widow of John Mallicoat were m. I expect the Louisa N. Mallicoat who with Francis Holt as surety m. April 28, 1817 Jas. Gray was the issue of this ~~ge~~ brief union. This John Jr. was not the son of John who d. in Surry about 1825 for his son John shared in the division of the estate in 1834.

I believe the John d. 1825 is the John who was admr. of Geo. Moody [or Mooy] Mallicoat (his bro.?) The Thos. who m. Milly Stamp in D. of W. is I think a third bro.

The tax lists are puzzling. I wonder if old Mr. Sewelling erred in telling me his grandfather was Jas. It rather looks as if Sarah m. Jno. + no one else, all her sons seem to have been b. before John's death which occurred only a year before that of his father Jas.

I was much disappointed that Wm P. Sewelling's parents were not given in Vital Statistics,

b. 1825 he d. 1872 aged 47, survived by his widow Hannah (Wood), who had m. (1) his bro. Jno. who d. before 1850. She appears as a widow in that census.

Yet it does seem strange when Sarah m. John Sewell, ing in 1811 that all three sons John, Thos. & Wm P. were b. in the 1820's – tho of course there may have been daus. or sons who d. born earlier.

Well I must close. I think looking up Mackintosh in Balto Cun. a fine idea. Believe it would be well to look up Warwick 1820 Census too, for Sewelling. There should be a newspaper obituary for Wm P. Sewelling Dec. 5, 1872, but I don't know where to look for it. Do you suppose Sarah Mackintosh's death would be in a Va. paper?

With lots of love – Nellie H.

If you can second Mrs. Wyche's nomination for Honorary Vice Pres. at the assembly will you let her know? She has asked me, but I don't believe I can attend. Have had lots to do the past month & am slower than snails in fact, they just fly by me.

Warwick Co. Miscellany in possession of W&M. Library
Will of John Mallicote of Warwick Co. dated
Nov. 21, 1719 (fragmentary) grandson John
Mallicote, son to Thos. Mallicote, grandson
John Townsend, granddaus. Mary Townsend
+ Ann Batts (dau of Oliver Batts dec'd), son
Thos. Mallicote, grandchildren John Townsend,
Mary Townsend, Mary, John + Wm, children of
Wm Mallicote dec'd, Mary, Francis + John, child-
ren of Thos. Mallicote + Ann Batts (all
grandchildren under 21). Codicil. wife
Mary dec'd, present wife Joan.

Id. Court Orders etc. April 3, 1729. John Langhorne
+ Wm Roscow, Gent agt. Andrew Giles + Mary his
wife. settlement of estate of Thos. Mallicote
dec'd returned + recorded. 4/5 of money due the
estate of Thos. Mallicote in Eng. to be pd. by Defts
to the pltffs.

Va. Colonial Decisions (Barradale) vol 2 p B71.
April Court 1738. Andrew Giles + Mary his
wife + Mary Mallicote pltffs v. Morey
Mallicote defts. The pltffs father Thos. Mall-
cote by his will devised negroes to his sons
John + Thos. + certain negroes to his other
children. John + Thos. are now dead

and would not be 21 if alive. The pltff Mary, the wife of Giles is the testators wife named in the will + the pltff Mallicote is one of the testator's daus. The dfdt. is the testator's eldest son + heir thereto his bros. John + Thos. + is more than 21.

Chas. Parish, Wm + Frances Mallicote had: Frances b 1709, Mary b 1706. [Wm Mallicote d by 1719] Frances Mallicote widow d 1727. John + Susanna Mallicote had: Wm b 1732, Mary b 1734, John b 1737, Thos. b 1740.

Warwick O.B. 1749-62 p 562 Nov. 2, 1758 Thos. Mallicote + Sarah his wife vs Saml Puryear in chancery. p. 379 Feb 1, 1759 Wm Langston is appointed gdn to Robt. McIntosh, orphan of Robt. McIntosh decd. John Moory + Saml Puryear his sec. p 591 June 7, 1759 Saml Puryear to pay Thos. + Sarah Mallicote 13£-5s-10d + costs in the chancery suit. p 679 Nov 4, 1762 Mary McIntosh orphan of Robt. McIntosh chose Thos. Mallicote her gdn, Moory Mallicote became his sec. Richd. McIntosh was appointed gdn of Margaret orphan of Robt McIntosh.

Id p 122 Nov. 7 1765, Robt McIntosh + Martha his wife [this is really Robt. Jr & Think]

Id p 125 Mar 7, 1750/1 A marriage contract bet. David Young & Martha Entosh & the children of the sd Martha & Robt. McIntosh & a schedule thereon indorsed was acknowledged by the sd David Young & Robt. McIntosh, two of the parties thereto & proved as to Martha by the oaths of Daniel Dunn & John — two of the witnesses thereto

p 406 Enos had son Samuel

p 381 Feb 5, 1756 Sarah, widow of Enos Mackintosh enter David Young & Martha his wife for her thirds of sd Enos's estate p 42 Aug 5, 1756 Thurman Hagyard of Princess Anne, ship carpenter & Susanna his wife make deed to Richd Mackintosh, deed of partition to certain lands in this county bet. Thurman Haggard & David Young & Martha his wife & Richd Mackintosh.

Nov 2, 1749 p 72 Ordered John Snoulding deliver up the estate of Humphry Harwood that is in his hands. p 94 July 3, 1750 On motion of John Snoulding, John Jones, Servant Jones, Wm Burk & Lawrence — ordered to divide estate of Humphry Harwood dec.d

1756
1682
74

Warwick Co. Tax Lists Archives Div.
1782–1814. Thos. Mallicote 314 a
1815 John Mallicote of Surry 140 a "from Thos. Mallicote by will", The orphans of Geo. Mallicote 140 a "from Thos. Mallicote by will"
Robt. M. Mallicote 60 a. "from Thos. Mallicote by will"

Surry WB 4 (1822-27) p 315 Will of John Mallicote dated Nov. 17, 1824 proved Feb 28, 1825 To wife personalty "provided she shall not claim dower in my Warwick land", various children mentioned by name + "my other married children". Id p 531, Mary D. Mallicote gets her share of her husband's estate Nov. 29, 1825.

WB 6 (1830-34) pp 620-623 Mar. 1834 Settlement of estate of John Mallicote Sr. + Mary D. Mallicote. Legatees 1 Robt. Howell for his wife 2 Robt. M. Mallicote, 3 Jas. Mallicote, 4 Wm Mallicote 5 Edmund Sewelling for his wife 6 John Mallicote, 7 Susan Mallicote, + John P. Mitchell son of Mary Mallicote Mitchell, 9 Sally M Sewelling, 10 Heirs of Thos. Mallicote. John Mallicote admr of Mary D Mallicote.

Surry M.B. Dec 21, 1818 Jas. Mitchell + Polly Davis Mallicote.

S. L. O. Book 9 p 45 Oct 29, 1696 John Mallicott 11c-40 acres for importation of Walter Bayley land in Warwick Co. adj. Wm Roscow etc.

[When Thos. Mallicote d. in Warwick 1814, I think the heirs of Geo Mallicote are the same as those of Geo. Moory (Morey) Mallicote who d. intestate in J. Co. about 1794 + the Thos. Mallicote who in Philip Supo was another son of Thos. Sr. he (Thos. Jr) mentioned "Kinsman Robt. McIntosh" in his will, Surry WB 1792-1804]

[The John Mellicote patenting land 1696 is I think identical with testator of 1719. He is mentioned in J. H. of B., as a messenger in 1682, I think. Thos. of the second generation must have m. Mary Morey, since Mary was oldest dau. Was Mary father of Thos. who d 1814? Thos m by 1758 was b bet 1730-35, I fancy. Warwick tax lists show a Moore or Morey a contemporary of Thos, dying about 1804. With the exception of Thos's father, the line is clear.]

Enos + Eliz. Mackintosh had sons Saml b 1674 d. 2 Sept 6, 1695 m married June 24, 1697, Daniel (b 1672) + Enos. b April 26, 1682 (~~under 16 on Nov 26, 1692 when his mother made her wills~~) Daniel m before about 14 Mar. 1696 the ex-wife of Francis Wiston (York, Book 11 p 375)

Warwick Miscellany at W & M. Court Orders etc April 3, 1729 p 349. Saml Mackintosh pltff in suit. b 1682 Sarah Mackintosh aged about 47 m. about 27 yrs. ago Enos Mackintosh who d 1720, she was dau. of Saml Rawsha.

Enos Mackintosh + Niles Cary in 1709 bet on a horse race at Harwood's Race Field in Warwick Par., Enos lost & w'd not pay. Think you think Enos, father of Robt. who m Martha Burt? [If you try working up this line, you had better get fuller notes, I made these hurriedly. Photo stats of Warwick papers are in envelope]

Surry M.B. Dec 20, 1787 John Mallicoat + Mary Gray. Rott McIntosh, Sec. Note from Jas Gray: "It is with my consent that Mr. John Mallicoat waits at your office for license (signed) Jas. Gray".

Dec. 24, 1811 John Sewelling + Sally M. Mallicote. [That is from the return, it ~~seems to~~ the bond gives Sally McIntosh Mallicote.]

Warwick MB. 1749-61 p. 353 Sept 7, 1754 Thos. Mallicote on jury.

Id. p497 Aug 4, 1757 John Sewelling produced an oath ag't. Humphry Horwood, orphan of Humphry Horwood dec'd

Id. p89 June 7, 1750, he was appointed gd. to Humphry.

Ranshaw- McIntosh-Mallicote- as I see them from data submitted;

I do not see how Thomas fits in as No.2. Line seems to me to be:

1. William emigtated 1620;
1635, July 1, William pat.-200 acres for self, wife Katherine and two servants &c &c.

2. Samuel Ranshaw: 1659- Katherine Staynes widow of Thos. Power Atty. to son Samuel Rahshaw-

3. Sarah Ranshaw, m. Enos McIntosh &c

4. Robert McIntosh m. Martha

6- But I see nothing whatever that shows Sarah Mallicote to have been Sarah McIntosh, dau. of said Robert. Certainly there is no proof;or indication, in the data submitted that admiés of argument in favor of said claim. Upon just what evidence is it claimed that Sarah Malliwote, was daughter of Robert Mackintotosh- certainlu not upon the mere fact that Thomas Mallicote was guardian to one of Robert's children. So was Langston.

Thomas Ranshaw may have been a son; but I see no reason- or really any excuse for calling him father of Samuel and husband of the Katherine who was mother of Samuel. Besides, dates are all in in favor of Samuel as son of William and his wife Katherine.

Am anxious to get this settled quickly, as I do not know hiw long I shall be able to use my eyes. What I fear is the order for a long rest period, before any decision as to advisability of an operation.

M.G.C.

The enclosed is a duplicate, Please number your pages in the future. It saves a little trouble if a when I do get them mixed.

Ranshaw- McIntosh-Mallicote- as I see them from data submitted:

I do not see how Thomas fits in as No.2. Line seems to me to be:

1. William emigtated 1620;
1635, July 1, William pat.-200 acres for self, wife Katherine and two servants &c &c.

2. Samuel Ranshaw: 1659- Katherine Staynes widow of Thos. Power Atty. to son Samuel Rahshaw-

3. Sarah Ranshaw, m. Enos McIntosh &c

4. Robert McIntosh m. Martha

6- But I see nothing whatever that shows Sarah Mallicote to have been Sarah McIntosh, dau. of said Robert. Certainly there is no proof;or indication, in the data submitted that admits of argument in favor of said claim. Upon just what evidence is it claimed that Sarah Mallicote, was daughter of Robert Mackintotosh- certainlu not upon the mere fact that Thomas Mallicote was guardian to one of Robert's children. So was Langston.

Thomas Ranshaw may have been a son; but I see no reason- or really any excuse for calling him father of Samuel and husband of the Katherine who was mother of Samuel. Besides, dates are all in in favor of Samuel as son of William and his wife Katherine.

Am anxious to get this settled quickly, as I do not know hiw long I shall be able to use my eyes. What I fear is the order for a long rest period, before any decision as to advisability of an operation.

M.G.C.

The whole claim, as I see it, now hinges on
6. Sarah Macintosh above. Just what shows her
= to have been dau. of Robert— or what shows
he had any dau. "Sarah"? Is your argument
that No 8- "Sarah Mackintosh Mallicote", or "Sarah
Mallicote Lewellen", whichever she was really called
in the bond, is proven to be granddau. of Robert
Mackintosh by the fact that she has "Mackintosh"
in her name, I must be very obtuse but this data
is very cautious for No.6 and No.8.